THE BRIDGE

A Riddle

By George Bailey

Einstein's theory, which he used to explain the universe, was flawed. In order to make it work mathematically, it had to be adjusted by a constant [a number which adjusted the equation, like Leap Year adjusts the calendar]. At the time, it had always been held that the heavens were hung in position like a giant mobile. They were viewed as orbits "Fixed" within the universe. That is to say, that from the beginning of human history, those who studied the stars, believed that the heavens hung in suspended animation, circular and timeless in a constant universe. It would be an astronomer by the name of "Hubbell", the same one they named the telescope for, who's work would help lead to our realization that the universe is not constant, but that it is expanding. As a result of this new understanding, the "Big Bang" theory would emerge.

If you don't know what the Big Bang theory is: it states that the entire universe began at a central point that could have rested neatly on a pinpoint. By looking at an expanding universe and then "running the film backwards" so to speak; science could extrapolate the very beginning, when everything existed at a central point just prior to the Big Bang. This central point is referred to as the "Singularity". It suggests that at a point in time, which can be calculated mathematically, there was an explosion of proportion significant enough to blast the universe into existence and from that time to this, the universe has been expanding away from that point of origin. Furthermore, once it was understood that the universe was

3

expanding, the constant, which Einstein had used to make his calculations work, could be dropped from the equation. In other words, the realization that the universe was expanding confirmed Einstein's theory, as Einstein's theory confirmed that the universe was expanding. Einstein would express the fact that he did not anticipate the expansion of the universe as "the biggest Blunder of my life."

It is not a new thing for religion to look for confirmation of God through science. I have heard it said somewhere that science itself began as a quest to look for conformation of God. It is true that the Church of Man burned Giordano Bruno at the stake in 1600 for suggesting that the earth was not the center of the universe. It is true that Galileo was forced to confess his error in order to live, when in fact he told the truth. But before you attribute such acts to God rather than the Church, you must remember that in 1536, William Tyndale was burned at the stake for his, the first translation of the "Scriptures" from the old Hebrew and Greek into English. It is also true that today some scientists scramble to find an alternative to the idea of the Singularity because it seems to substantiate the concept of Creation. Religion has always looked for God in the details, even if the stupidity of men has clouded the truth. In this same way, the scientific community of this century has looked to refute God on the basis that it has been able to catalogue some of His workings. So in the end we find that it is power that is at the heart of the struggle. The power of the Church of Man in the case of Bruno and Tyndale, and the power of the Church of Science today. But God is in the details and that is how He reveals Himself.

Some ten years ago, I was so frustrated with the world and its systems that I set out to design a new set of systems. The humbling responsibility, lead me to the Bible and the answers streamed in as fast as I could write them down. For years I wrote in my own hand, and my faith, which was not strong in the beginning, grew. I continued, not knowing how I could make it all come together, but on faith alone, until I received a Revelation. It would become the linchpin of my work. Many more years would pass before I would be able to complete the work. It would follow a timetable of its own. When it was done I received what I believe to be two more Revelations, which are interlinked. One part of the pair has to

do with the fact that there is a place where Creation and The Singularity and The Big Bang converge with the Supernatural. It can be demonstrated mathematically. It is a glimpse of God's hand, but unlike my first Revelation, it cannot be tested, just as God cannot be tested. I considered it to be conformation of the work. The other part can be refuted. I consider it to be my introduction to you and I bring it to you today in the form of a riddle.

From the book "Pioneers of Science and Discovery, Albert Einstein and Relativity"

By D J Raine

"But the most amazing feature of the Universe is not its size. Rather, it is the discovery made in 1929 by Edwin Hubble {1889-1953} that the galaxies appear to be moving away from each other. The further away a galaxy is from us, the faster it appears to be moving away from us. In other words, the universe is expanding.

To understand this, imagine a balloon with dots printed on it, each dot representing a galaxy. As the balloon is blown up all the dots get further away from each other. The balloon is expanding, but there is no particular dot which can said to be at its centre."

NOTE: Over time, the distance between any two objects, traveling in the same general direction, at different speeds, will increase.

Raine used the example of a balloon to describe the Universe and stated that there is no particular dot [Galaxy], which can be said to be at its center. The example of the balloon is two-dimensional and gives us a

view of the universe along a spherical perimeter within the universe at any given distance from the original location of the Singularity: the larger the balloon, the greater the distance. Below is a two dimensional cross-section of the universe. Note: Raine did not say that the further away a galaxy is away from us, along a given line, the faster it appears to be moving away from us. He said simply that, the further away from us a galaxy is, the faster it appears to be moving away from us. Locate the Galaxy in which Hubble was standing when he made his discovery?

With the realization that Earth was not at the center of the solar system, man's fundamental belief that man was at the center of all things was challenged. For decades, science and the popular culture have pursued the idea that from all the stars in the heavens, surely there must be other beings; that we are not alone in the universe. I will not elaborate here and now on the incorrectness of this kind of thinking except to say that it is We who were put here to Glorify God and not the other way around. As such, we are all that is necessary to that end. I have brought you a dozen copies of the book to which I previously referred. They are free to any one of you who wish one. I ask only that you read it through. If you find its teachings in any way in conflict with what is taught in the Bible, I urge you to reject it.

Whether or not my perception of the universe will stand the scrutiny of time, I cannot say. What I do know for sure is that I see things from a different perspective. If you will put forth the effort to read this work through, your perception of the world will be as fundamentally changed as your perception of the universe is at this moment.

GOD'S SPEED

George Bailey

In 2001 I set out to distribute 483n copies of the book entitled "Revolution Number Ten". I left a case of twelve books at forty separate locations. With each case I left a "Hand Bill" entitled "A Riddle". Within the pages of "A Riddle", was a drawing of a construct of the universe that depicted the universe as having a center. In accordance with Hubble's observation that, the further away things appeared to be in the universe the faster they were moving away from us, those dots in my drawing were stationed progressively further away from each other as they moved away from that center. This construct is at odds with the conventional wisdom of today's Physics, which states that, the universe:

- 1. Exploded everywhere- all at once, contrary to natural law.
- 2. Is expanding in all directions at once without a center, but rather, like the skin of a balloon that is increasingly filled with air.
- 3. Follows Newtonian Physical Laws concerning large objects but follows the rules of Quantum Mechanics at molecular levels.

1a. That which is not found in "Natural Law", is by definition, "Supernatural". It is illogical to state that "Supernatural Law" can be used to describe natural occurrences such as "The Big Bang", while denying the existence of the Supernatural, which is the realm of God.

2a. Physicists who refute the notion of a universe that is expanding from an epicenter, with us at that epicenter (as depicted in "A Riddle"), point to Einstein's Theory of Relativity as proof. Einstein referred to two trains passing each other in opposite directions, to demonstrate that it is difficult to tell which train is moving if you are on one of the trains. He gave the example of two elevators hanging side by side in space. If you were to find yourself in one of those two elevators, without the pull of gravity to help you judge, you could not accurately gauge if a distance created between you and the other elevator resulted from your moving up or the other elevator moving down, or both. Your perception then becomes

"Relative" to the movement around you. Sighting Einstein's model, physicists set us on a linear plane in which all the objects we observe are in a straight line and equal distant from one another. They then place us on one of those points as all the points expand evenly away from one another. From anywhere along that line, all the points will appear to move away from one another in a manner consistent with Hubble's observations. The further the object is away from us the faster it will move away. If we take up position on another point, the same observations will be made. This is their "scientific" proof. The problem with their argument is that both models, theirs and mine, are consistent with Hubble's observations. What's more, according to Einstein's Theory of Relativity, they can't discount either possibility. It is only their assertion that the "Big Bang" happened everywhere, all at once, and leaving no center, that gives credence to their interpretation. It is only their "Supernatural" argument that defends their position. The notion that the universe exists as an expanding "Hollow Shell" consistent with the skin of an expanding balloon should reveal a void in space in both the direction of the center of the universe (the origin of the "Big Bang") as well as on the direction of its outer periphery, yet we have yet to see such a void.

3a. The main "Dude" of early Quantum Mechanics was a guy named Neil(s) Bohr. He explained the universe at the atomic level as "Chaotic" (despite God's promise that it is not). Bohr and his contemporaries discovered that they couldn't predict where a given individual atomic event would occur, but that by using the equations of "Quantum Physics", they could predict the overall outcome. When viewing the inner workings of an atom, the components orbiting the center seemed to be "everywhere, all at once". Sound familiar? Bohr extrapolated that the only reason we were able to see the specific outcomes of these individual events was by chance observation. Because the orbiting particles were everywhere, he said that we could only observe where they were at any given instant in time; that we were unable to predict where one might find them in such a chaotic state. In fact, he inferred that such observation related

to the cause of such events. Hugh Everett came along to challenge Bohr with the idea that both possibilities of a given event happened either way, resulting in Parallel Universes. Being vested in his own hypotheses, Bohr rebuffed Everett and Everett was driven from academia altogether. Fortunately for us, Everett and George Pew were set to work figuring out the ramifications of Nuclear Winter and probably saved us all. Even more profoundly, he influenced the "Science Fiction" writers of my youth and expanded the conceptual thinking (the wine skin) of my generation in the same way that Einstein's ideas led to tails of "Time Travel". As for Bohr, like all of academia, he rested, fat, on his laurels and remained blind to the truth.

Quantum Mechanics lost its way when it found out how to get the answer without understanding the mechanisms it addressed. The mechanisms were revealed in E=MC², but because the trail went "cold" there and Quantum Mechanics would allow the right answer, the "NEED" to know, took a back seat. The answer was that what Bohr was describing was a range of misconceptions. Put another way: let us say that if one understood the world, they would see that the answer to the equation was 50. But because they did not understand how the universe worked, what they got was a smattering of numbers between 1 and 100. By concluding that all is chaos and then finding the average of all that chaos, they could end up with the correct answer of 50 time and time again through the beauty of mathematics. Even though they could marvel at their own inability to explain the "Double Slit Experiment", science could go on happily building nuclear waste at personal profit while denying God and any implied humility owed toward Him. Unfortunately, the "Learned" of religion and science, have always acted this way. The concept is similar to a statement made by Tom Hanks and Ron Howard in a "Charlie Rose" interview. When discussing the sequel to their "Smash Hit", "The De Vinci Code", they related that God and discussions related to Him, made for "Good dinner Conversation". Which is to say that if you are Bohr and his scientific peers, truth is a luxury you can afford to overlook when all

the other luxuries of life are being bestowed upon you. Likewise, if you are fabulously wealthy and famously admired, you may have no burning desire to understand why the world is as it is; why you have so much while others suffer so. But it does make for good dinner conversation.

I have given the world a unifying theory of the universe based on E=MC². I have explained gravity, black holes, magnetism, electricity, the "Double Slit Experiment" and even the expansion of the universe, and true to their nature, the boorish of Science have gagged my efforts. If Bohr had been correct, then Everett's assumptions would be just as logical. But while Everett's insights would lead to some mind-expanding science fiction writing, neither interpretation can stand without a reliance on "Chaos". What's much more, is that at a time when all seems lost, we have been given the answers to nearly all the questions, and the prophecies that have become not only fulfilled, but "Observed", have refuted the possibility of alternative outcomes as they relate to the knowledge of God. Ironically, "Free Will" has always included the element of alternative outcomes in much the same way that Everett imagined it. The difference is that, it is not man who is the observer snatching a piece of reality from chaos, it is God Almighty who has observed from the beginning to the end, putting an end the chaos.

While traveling away from home, I "scanned" the radio for stations and I came upon a "peddler of scripture". He professed that a particular Bible passage that referred to "Nature", was speaking to the supposed "Fact", that because God had put Man above the animals and because "Man" had fallen from grace; that the animals were then made to "fall" as well, so as to remain beneath the fallen Man. It's not just that this idiot makes a living inventing the meaning of God's Word; it's that he has enough of a following to get "air time".

Mankind evolved in the natural world, and because of that, the first social structures would have been of the natural order as well. That is to say that there would have been a hierarchy, a social pecking order. Subordinate members of the hierarchy live according to their "pecking order" within the group and power is held at the top by those powerful enough to hold it for a time. As Mankind evolved into larger and larger groups, society itself evolved layer upon layer of "Strata" to that pecking order. The culmination of that progression, as it developed within the ancient world, was exemplified by the "Great Egyptian Culture". The symbol of the ideology of their society was the Pyramid, which manifest itself into all aspects of that society. Within the structure, every stratum is elevated by the oppression of the strata below them. Moreover, those at the very top believed that they could, in fact, "take it with them". Those who are within the central confines of the system gauge their "Lot" by those above and below them. At the bottom are those who are merely sustained in normal times and discarded during troubled times. Those at the very top are believed to be "Ordained": making the assent impossible for the rest. As difficult as it is to climb an Egyptian pyramid today, navigating its great steps, imagine them with their polished marble facades in place, and covered with a fine layer of dust. Thus the metaphor was played out physically in the construction of the symbol of the culture.

This is the world as it had evolved to the time of Abraham. He who sits at the top of the Pyramid is master of all he surveys, including "Rutting Privileges". This is the point of God's first

intervention. And what is His first act? It is the first step in the liberation of Womankind. It was the covenant of circumcision. I do not expect women to understand the forces that need to be controlled within a young man's body in order to make the world safe for women. This is especially true in light of the way today's women have fallen. Never the less, circumcision was the first step in the social evolution that has brought us to the promise of these very days. Even the King is reminded amid his rut that God watches. The next, all-important lesson is, "An eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth". Not as punishment, but as a limit to the boundless power of the hierarchy. People look to the harsh rules set forth in the Old Testament and wonder at the cruelty, but you must understand that God didn't present Himself to a peaceful People in a peaceful time. He comes to a People who "Stone" one another for being at odds with what ever was "Politically Correct" by any particular "Mob" and He says, only for these things may you be stoned. He gives them the "Ten Commandments". Then, some time later, when some of the People have evolved enough to receive a better way, He gives them a perfect Man to show them that better way, just as He promised He would. A man who says, John 8:7 (KJV) "He that is without sin among you, let him first cast a stone at her.

Science has been busy as of late in the study of "Brain Science". What they hope to achieve in the cause of curing the ill, will likely be overshadowed by the way any such knowledge is used to subjugate descent by manipulating the stupid and the ignorant, to shout-down reason. Never the less, we have come to understand that the input of information establishes a "physical" connection within the brain. Repetition of that input strengthens that connection and conversely, the abandonment of that pathway results in its atrophy.

Within that context, let us consider sentence structure as it manifests itself in thought. The English sentence generally puts the verb ahead of the object of the prepossession, whereas German reverses the order. In English we say, "He went to the movies". In German they say, "He, to the movies, has gone". As the sentence is

spoken, the "Files" within the brain pertaining to those words are opened. "He", takes us to the "Male" file. "Went", informs what action file to put "him" in and, finally, "movies" gives us the object we have been waiting for. I suspect that each piece of information is accessed from the file we were in when receiving the next piece of input, so that a connection is made sequentially: that is, from "He" to "went" and from "went" to "movie". German reverses it to "movie" and then "went". I'm guessing that even the reading of a page from right to left, rather than left to right, produces a slightly different neural connection pattern. These patterns can best be seen in humor. When a comedian leads us down a path that seems familiar, only to cross-reference an unexpected but familiar neural pathway in some distant quadrant of the brain, we find the neural stimulation "amusing". Moreover, because the connection is not repeatedly reinforced, it is hard for most of us to remember jokes.

We are told in the Bible that an old wine skin cannot contain new wine. It refers to the fact that new wine produces expansive gas as it ferments and an old wine skin that has lost its elasticity will split under the pressure. A new and supple skin is required. The parable also explains that a new patch put on an old cloth will tear: this because, when the garment is washed, the patch will shrink but the garment, which is old, will no longer shrink with it. Within that context, the ancient expression of the term "Neural Pathway" is exemplified in the biblical symbolism of a "wine skin". Moreover, "ideas" have a physical manifestation in the form of the neural connections involved in making the connections necessary to "Contain" that idea. Those constructs, which allow us to imagine the world we are to build, were non-existent in the age before the covenant of circumcision, an eye for an eye, and The Ten Commandments. The evolution of Mankind is physically manifest through the instruction of The Word Of God.

In conversation with my eighty-year-old uncle, I find him more than willing to search for truth. Yet the idea of an economy in which no interest is charged left him arguing that without interest there would be no incentive to repay the money. The "New Patch" would not hold on the "Old Cloth", not because he can't see that the banks are distorting the world, but because, at his age, it is difficult to build new "Bridges", new neural connections. Just as the forces I do battle with have convinced his generation that they suffered the Great Depression and WWII when most of those that remain were too young to be more than children in either, they have been taught to fight for their place within the strata of the Pyramid at the expense of those below them and in adulation, even idolatry, of those above.

Today's Press now refers to this manipulation of the masses as a "Pawnsie" scheme as if to deflect our attention from the fact that our would-be masters have declared their intentions on the back of our currency, our notes of debt. What they did not gather unto themselves in the first Great Depression, they have acquired in this last financial coup. In every aspect of our society they have convinced us to attempt to prevail over our fellow man, and to enlist our wives to the struggle against other couples and singles, in subservience to those directly above us in order that we may be served by the power of the Pyramid. The great eye that watches us grovel down in the caldron, mocks Jesus before the Throne of God saying, "These are the host of heaven you would have me serve."

I have written to you for nearly two decades now. In all my writings I have tried to build the neural pathways necessary for you to receive the new wine. I generally start off with a premise that I am confident you can concede and lead you from one file to the next until a pattern is established that, while familiar, brings you to a different destination than was possible before. In this case, I began with an idiot's assertions concerning the sins of the Natural World in order to give you the true meaning, as I understand it.

While watching a film about the reintroduction of wolves into Yellowstone National Park, I was drawn once again to the parables of the Bible and how they often refer to Mankind as sheep: as a victim of predators. If we were to take a bull elk, or even a full grown cow, and place it in a cage with a lone wolf, the wolf would either be killed by the elk or starve to death. Now consider the size

of an elk herd and compare it to a wolf pack and tell me who should survive the evolutionary struggle. The answer is that without the wolves, the elk would over propagate and starve themselves to death. They would not evolve from the strongest and the smartest. God made them without the ability to rise above that natural state. But He gave you His Christ so that you might evolve by His WORD, rather than "naturally". He gave you the Gospel, which is a social construct, a mountain greater than any Pyramid, with which to do battle as the Angels of the Lord rather than the angels fallen from the Pyramid: Armageddon meaning, "Mountain of the Gospel". He promised through His Son Jesus that if you truly had faith you could move mountains, which is to say, you could tell the pyramid to be gone, leveled to a highway. But to this point in our evolution, we are still less evolved than the Musk Ox, who are smart enough to "Stand" rather than to abandon their young to wolves.

All is parable and all is connected, in dreams, in the physiology of your brain and in the free will choices you make in the Mind of God: of which, all that is, exists as a part. You are not alone, nor will you be forsaken in life everlasting if you are true in this life no matter the cost. I do not speak from faith alone fore I am a man of reason and these things, which I have shared, have been shown to me through reason. So now I ask you to reflect upon from whence those, who God called His First Born Son, "The Nation of Israel" came. Which strata held claim to the Hebrew slaves? Was Christ (the second born Son), the pinnacle stone of the house of God or from the exposed outer corner that bears the load and becomes the "Plumb Line" for the walls? To whom did Jesus teach the promise of the Lord, God in Heaven? I have not come to heap praise on institutions that covet the upper houses of the pyramid. The church of Christ is really God's Church in which Jesus is the cornerstone. It is a level plane wherein all attempt to be one with the Son even as He is already one with the Father. Those gifts of God that give one an advantage are given in trust for the sake of all Mankind. Those impediments, which cause one to reach out, are not an excuse to become unproductive in order to be raised up in the pyramid. All

these gifts, both the welcome and unwelcome, are by the Will of God and as such, they are by definition, appropriate to helping you arrive at a place of eternal joy, no matter how hard that is to see from where you sit. When the pyramid is applied to management it is expeditious. But when it is applied to power and the pursuit of wealth, it will lead us back to the false gods of Egypt and to the slavery they love. Czarist Russia and Communist Russia were both pyramid-like institutions. The only difference was the individuals at the top. In fact, no government, no tribe, no clan, no gang, is structured significantly different than Pharaoh's Egypt: with one notable exception. It was Jesus who called his disciples his brothers. He washed the inequity from their feet as their servant at the "Last Super" and made Himself their "Scapegoat" when they were prepared to fight for Him as the Social Structure of the day dictated. Then in the first month of the third millennium AD, on the 28th day, which is four times seven, I published a book of peaceful revolution. It outlined a new Government that held elections in a new way, without political parties and without unlimited employment in any government position. It gave protection to those at the bottom and insured the success of those who contributed, in such radical ways that no member of the "Pyramid" would ever support it. But still, I felt compelled to publish and from that day to this is recorded as best I could for all to see and nothing held back, so that all are free to judge for themselves.

The progression of the migration of Mankind's ancestors out of Africa is preserved in the genetic historical record that is the DNA of the Peoples of the planet. Those who left Africa some fifty or sixty thousand years ago, most likely circled northeast along the extended shorelines created by the Ice Age. Some stayed on the subcontinent of Asia Minor in what is today called India. It became and remains, in large part, a Hindu culture. The trinity of Brahma (The supreme and eternal essence or spirit of the universe and chief member of the trinity), Vishnu ("The Preserver), and Siva (god of destruction and reproduction) constitutes the framework of the religion upon which the other, seemingly enumerable, gods rest. The

Brahman priests, who coveted the highest positions within the "Cast" system they established, learned the prayers of their ancestors by "rote": note for note, intonation for intonation. In his "Story of India", Michael Wood takes us to what is believed to be the birthplace of the Brahman Priesthood. It is said that the line of priests began as many as 10,000 years ago. It was also reported that, when the linguists studied the prayers of the ancients they found something curious, if not astonishing. It seems that the first prayers did not resemble the structure or cadence of human language at all. The sacred words most closely mimicked the songs of birds. The logical conclusion to be drawn, or at least inferred, from this understanding is that the first Brahman prayers predate human language. Scientific American Frontiers, with Allen Alda, did a series called "The Human Spark' in which, "Scientists" linked that "Spark" that made us human, to language. So that "In the beginning, there was a Word and the Word was God'

"Charlie Rose" did a series of programs on the subject of the Brain. In the program about sight, one of the scientists told of the different places in the brain that performed different functions and how these different locations were universal to "ALL" human beings. She spoke specifically about a small place in the brain used for the "Written Word" and how it could remain dormant for the entire length of a person's life if they were never taught to read. It was as if that part of the brain had no other purpose. Yet even a person who learned to read as a middle-aged adult could activate that spot by learning to read using metaphorical constructs, from Chinese picture writing to English letters. She hypothesized that this place in the brain could not, therefore, be the result of evolution, but is a pre-existing condition. So that, once again, "In the beginning, there was a Word and the Word was God" and we were designed from the beginning to be able to receive His Word as it was recorded for our sake. But the next step in the progression of Mankind cannot be taken until those Words have been interpreted so that the ideas delivered by the Word of God are understood in a way that makes sense of all the perceived contradictions and apparent

hypocrisies: a point of view from outside the pyramid that will require open eyes to see and an open mind to understand and an open heart to embrace, as was promised from the days of the prophets.

Whom do men say that I the Son of man am? ¹⁴ And they said, Some *say that thou art* John the Baptist: some, Elias; and others, Jeremias, or one of the prophets. ¹⁵ He saith unto them, But whom say ye that I am? ¹⁶ And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God. ¹⁷ And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou, Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed *it* unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven. ¹⁸ And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it. ¹⁹ And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven.
²⁰ Then charged he his disciples that they should tell no man that he was Jesus the Christ.

Matt 16:13-20 (KJV)

A servant is not greater than his master. Likewise, a foundation built, upon which, to place the Temple of God is not placed above the Temple: or even the "Cornerstone" of that Temple; Jesus is His name. Peter's understanding of the identity of Jesus did not come to him from a person, but rather, from the Word of God. I have not come to tell you what the Bible showed me, but rather to show you what I have seen, so that you may see for yourself. This passage from Mathew celebrates the "Rebirth" of Simon Barjona, who has become Peter through his understanding of the Word of God. The phrase "upon this rock", isn't calling Peter the "Rock"; as asserted by the Pope: though the name Peter means "rock or stone" It is saying that Simon, which translates as "that hears, that obeys", Barjoni, meaning the son of John, is "reborn" (not transfigured but

19

"Born Again") in spirit through understanding. It is upon "this rock", this "understanding", referred to as that which is revealed by, "my Father which is in heaven", through word and deed, that Jesus says, "I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it"; and that understanding is not fully accessible without the "keys" Jesus left us. The books of the apostles do not refer to Peter as Rock or Stone. They call him Peter. Peter is the "Surname" given to Simon when he combines his willingness to hear and obey, with his understanding of God's words and prophecies. The "stones" of the Temple walls are hewn and molded from "natural" rock. If Jesus had indeed meant to make Peter "The Foundation" of Christ's Church, in place of scripture given by God, we wouldn't expect this:

Therefore whosoever heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them, I will liken him unto a wise man, which built his house upon a rock. And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell not: for it was founded upon a rock. And every one that heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them not, shall be likened unto a foolish man, which built his house upon the sand: And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell: and great was the fall of it.

Matt 7:24-27 (KJV)

By asserting that the addition of the surname Peter to Simon's name refers to the translation of Peter to "Rock", we would place men as the foundation when it is the Word of God that is the foundation upon which Jesus himself was building His church using the scripture of the Gospel of Christ transposed over the Hebrew texts we now refer to as "The Old Testament. Just as profoundly, using such an incorrect translation, we build churches out of earthly materials when Peter reveals through his own words, the understanding that his surname refers, not to "Rock", but to "Stone":

20

"1 So rid yourselves of all wickedness, all deceit, hypocrisy, envy, and all slander. 2 Like newborn infants, desire the unadulterated spiritual milk, so that you may grow by it in [your] salvation, 3 since you have tasted that the Lord is good. 4 Coming to Him, a living stone—rejected by men but chosen and valuable to God—5 you yourselves, as living stones, are being built into a spiritual house for a holy priesthood to offer spiritual sacrifices acceptable to God through Jesus Christ. 6 For it stands in Scripture: Look! I lay a stone in Zion, a chosen and valuable cornerstone, and the one who believes in Him will never be put to shame!"

1 Peter 2:1-6 (HCSB)

As if all this were not enough, Peter goes on to predict those who would attempt to bestow the honor given him by Jesus onto them selves; first calling him a "Rock" when Jesus and His followers are said to be stones in the "spiritual house for the holy priesthood" and then calling themselves the continuation of that "Rock" in order to reign over that priesthood:

"7 So the honor is for you who believe; but for the unbelieving, The stone that the builders rejected—this One has become the cornerstone, and 8 A stone that causes men to stumble, and a rock that trips them up. They stumble by disobeying the message; they were destined for this."

1 Peter 2:7-8 (HCSB)

I have it on good authority that I should "recap", so to speak, at this point. Here goes. You see, all this has been about the evolution of Mankind. It is not an argument against the Bible. It is an argument that the Bible was the instrument of the evolution of Mankind by the Will, and more importantly, by the promise of The God of Abraham through His Word.

Imagine that you are walking through a primeval forest and you come upon an immense, polished, cube of marble. Let's imagine

that its dimensions are dozens of yards across and it is absolutely perfect in all its proportions. Do you presume that it is of the "Natural" world? Or do you understand immediately and without reservation that some form of intelligent life created it? So what have we discussed so far? We began with a discussion of the "Natural" world in which those who chanted the "Magic" words of bird songs were given special privileges as the most high-ranking members of a society. A society that never evolved above the natural state that elk live in: where the strong prey on the weak. Then God intervened and began to give Mankind the tools that protected members of society from internal threats as well as external threats. God related to Mankind that He wanted them to abandon the superstitions of their ancestors and the shrines and temples in which they nurtured those superstitions by worshiping their dead idols made of earthly materials. God began giving them laws that would influence Man's evolution in profound ways. Unlike a bolder fallen from an outcropping and found in its natural state, God intended for us to become "Hewn", manicured "Stones" to be placed in a metaphorical temple built in the heart of Mankind. The mason's tool was the "Law" carved in stone: The Ten Commandments. Then the Gospel according to Christ not only tempered a difficult Law, but it was like a clear template with a secret pattern, which, when laid over the "Old Testament", gives us the "Keys" to understanding. From that understanding comes Armageddon, which means "The Mountain of the Gospel". It is the holy mountain from which the temple stones are quarried. But more than this, it is about the proof and the glory of God, our Father in Heaven.

Back in 2007, I tried unsuccessfully to give free, limitless energy to the world by placing a challenge before an acclaimed atheist at Tuft's University. I attempted to place a one-page advertisement in the student paper. It included the drawing used in "Money for noth'in and your hydrogen free". The atheist in question has stated in an interview on "Scientific American Frontiers", that it is speech (maybe he said language) that ultimately separates us from other

"Species". In either case, it is language that has shaped Mankind into the shape that is not found in "Nature". It is our ability to speak and to imagine, coupled with our pre-existing ability to read that makes us the monolith in the primeval forest that demands that reasonable men and women acknowledge us as a creation of an intelligent life form. In the movie from the nineteen-sixties, "2001 A Space Odyssey", we were shown a polished obelisk and we understood that it represented some sort of ancient wisdom. Not long after, the Rock & Roll Band, "The Who", came out with "Who's Next"; an album that had a classic song about the futility of war and violent revolution and another about the lack of leadership that our wise and "learned" had to offer us as youths. On the cover of the album, the band was shown zipping up their pants after having urinated on the obelisk; and given what our elders worshipped, it seemed right to us. Though we were given the Law, and the Gospels, our leaders had other ideas and it must be admitted that we threw the baby out with the bathwater in our contempt for their hypocrisy. We failed to look closely enough for ourselves to see that Jesus had addressed that hypocrisy during His ministry. In fact, He had anticipated those to come in His name and set stumbling blocks in their path.

"And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it.", is more clearly expressed as, 'I am giving you a new name that celebrates who you have become as a result of the knowledge and understanding given to you by our Father in Heaven by His Holy Word that will one day be called the Old Testament. Furthermore, the church I am building will be built upon the knowledge and understanding given to the world by God The Father in the form of what will one day be called The Old Testament: the understanding you have received.' But as Peter relates to us, "They stumble by disobeying the message; they were destined for this." That would include those who dismiss the "Intelligent Design" argument on the basis of our genetic codes being so close to the

codes of all life, and certain species in particular. Fore, in the end, it is not Mankind's evolution that is the proof. It is The Bible itself.

The spiritual temple, in which we are to administer the spiritual offerings, is to be built metaphorically, in our hearts with the hewn stones, which are all of Mankind. Stones shaped by the Will of God into that predestined understanding in the Law which is the foundation we are to build that temple on: making all of us like Peter. But before we can get there from where we started with the first word "and the Word was God", God and His anointed one had to put God's Word into a world in which those who rule the world are hostile in their rebellion against God, the Creator of all things. Had God made all things clear, no "Power" that reigned on earth, would have allowed the Word of God to remain. So God "Sealed" Up" the Words so that the "Puffed Up" could parade them around like bird songs, giving power to the "Puffed Up" and those who they indorsed. In time His anointed One, Jesus, would bring us His Gospel transposed over the Old strict Law and He would deliver the "Keys", even as He, Jesus, locked them in metaphor against the threat of the "Puffed Up". From the very beginning, to these very days, the battle over the Words has ragged. There is only one animal that at least professes to live according to "Law". Even though we still only pay lip service to it; with an understanding that is as unconnected to the Law as speaking and worshiping the words of the Law by "Rote"; it defines us as different nevertheless: and so the battle ragged across the millennia. What was Peter telling you? He is telling you that Jesus set a trap by using the name Peter, with its double meaning, in conjunction with a reference to the "Rock" foundation of the Law that God had delivered through Moses; knowing full well that, those who would later profess to be both the foundation and the head of the "Church" would misinterpret Christ's praise of Simon Barjona, and reveal their blindness and lack of understanding. Moreover, Jesus would set his stumbling block three hundred years in advance of Constantine's "Takeover". God is ultimately the "Head" of the Kingdom. Jesus sits at the right hand of His Father's throne and the foundation under both is the Word of

God unchangeable. The Temple that will be built was described from the beginning. The "trap" was that those once committed to holding power through the usurpation of God's Words, would be forced to play along or lose power. The tactics of God's Prince of Peace were laid out before His enemies. The tools and the time tables, the milestones, the combatants on both sides and the outcome of each event, have been written in the Bible's words and those words delivered to these very days by those who were true to God's instructions unto death, in spite of the best efforts of those who serve evil: all to the Glory of God. Let me show you.

The Parables of Saul & John The Baptist

Jesus said that He held the "Keys" to Heaven and Hell. When asked why He taught in parable, He replied that it was so that the prophecy should be fulfilled. He was referring to the "Sealing up" of the Word so that the wise and the learned could be brought down in shame. With few exceptions, the Apostles left Jesus' parables unexplained. It was so that the prophecy that "they will hear and not hear, see and not see" should come to pass as promised. Conversely, the Prophets were instructed by God to "Act Out" the things to come so that they would be sure that the People understood God's message. If you want to "SEE" the secret things of the Bible, you are going to have to understand that just as the Prophets were told to act out the warnings of their prophecies, the characters of the Bible acted out what was to come through the record of their lives and deaths.

Historians point to ancient Greece as the birthplace of Democracy. But that isn't where the idea of the American Democracy came from. The idea of a country of equals that was ruled by laws and judges based on the Law of the God of Abraham came from God's instruction to Moses. Lawyers point to the

25

separation of Church and State in the US and deny that the word "Creator" used in the "Declaration of Independence" is a reference to the God of Abraham: but it is proven with the signing of the "Constitution of the United States", in the preamble to the date of that signing, with the phrase "in the year of our Lord". Nevertheless, the State was to remain separated from the endorsement of a particular religion and with good reason. Isaiah 29:7, King James:

⁷ And the multitude of all the nations that fight against Ariel, even all that fight against her and her munition, and that distress her, shall be as a dream of a night vision.

Ariel means Alter, light, lion of God. When any person becomes a member of a church, whether it be a member of the congregation, or a member of that church's clergy, they adopt the doctrine of that church. They take all of their beliefs and they superimpose scripture over those beliefs, picking and choosing bits of scripture that support their viewpoint and adding other bits of scripture that support their argument. The Catholics look to the pope. The Mormons look to their supreme elder. The Jehovah's Witnesses swear that God ordains their elders as well. Men of reason will understand that two possibilities exist. Either only one of these three religions is right, or all are wrong.

When Jesus said that His ministry would be like a mustard seed, the people were astonished. Why? Because they expected it to be "Great" like "The Cedars of Lebanon" or "Mt. Sinai". Instead, He said it would be like a weed that grows in the garden: a weed that gardeners tend to pluck out. But as recorded in Revelation Chapter 12, His Church, which brought forth His Spirit like a woman in childbirth, would always be confined to the wilderness. Wherever we find religion, we find doctrine and wherever we find doctrine, it is arranged like a garden. The truths held by a religion are arranged neatly in their rows and those bits of the truth that argue in favor of that doctrine are aligned neatly beside the doctrine they support. Those bits of the truth that are in conflict with that doctrine are

suppressed; plucked out of the whole of the Word of God so as to protect the integrity of the garden established: not to the glory of God and His Holy Plan, but to someone's interpretation of that plan; plucked out, like a mustard seed springing forth. If any "Church" had set itself up as a "State Religion" in North America, as was the case in the "Old World", revelations of truth in conflict with "State Church Doctrine" would have been a crime against the State as they were in "The Inquisition". But make no mistake, "Religion", as referred to in the idea of "Separation of Church and State", is a warning against allowing any one group's set of core beliefs to become the "Law", in order to outlaw another's core beliefs. What is today described, as "Politically Correct", or even "Science" with a capital "S", is a core belief, or "Religious Conviction", in every sense that any other core conviction is a "Religious Belief". While it is only "Christian Tolerance", as taught by Jesus, that protects views that are not "Mainstream", it is the Law as delivered by Moses and tempered by the "Gospel According to Christ" that is currently under attack by the State.

So the Hebrew Democracy was set in place with Moses and brought to fruition under the "Judges" of Israel. The "Head" of that government was God Himself, as it was He and He alone who made the "Law". Metaphorically speaking, He was the Head over the "Body" of Israel in the same way we refer to the "Student Body" as being the people who are under the supervision of a schools administration and its teachers (who are similar to the Hebrew clergy and their judges) and in accordance with the Institution's Charter (which is metaphorically like the Law of God). Unfortunately, the Judges of Israel became corrupted. They perverted the Law of God and they began to take bribes. But rather than stand up to the virus of corruption as the "body" of the nation, with God and His Laws as their Head, they went instead to God and asked for a King. And how did God point out their new leader Saul, whose name meant "ditch, lent and hell"? You know. He was a full "head" taller than the people. He was the new "Head" over the body of the people of Israel and he perverted the Law. By 1st Samuel

beginning at 14:22, he has sworn that anybody who eats before the battle is over will be put to death and before it's over he has found his son the victim of his rash statement and repented of it. He has become as a god in the same way Adam and Eve became as gods, and to the same end: the Law has become the will of a man (or woman as we will learn with John the Baptist).

We get a foreshadow of the changing of the leadership to come a few chapters later:

³⁸ Then Saul had his own military clothes put on David. He put a bronze helmet on David's head and had him put on armor.

1 Sam 17:38 (HCSB)

But it's in Saul's death that we see the parable, the metaphor, of Saul's life played out.

¹ The Philistines (those who dwell in villages) fought against Israel (who prevail with God), and Israel's men fled from them. Many were killed on Mount Gilboa (revolution of inquiry). ² The Philistines (those who dwell in villages) overtook Saul (ditch, lent, hell) and his sons and killed his sons, Jonathan (given of God), Abinadab (father of a vow, or willingness), and Malchishua (my king is a savior).

1 Sam 31:1-2 (HCSB) – 1 Sam 31:7-15 (HCSB)

- ⁷ When the men of Israel (who prevail with God) on the other side of the valley and on the other side of the Jordan (river of judgment) saw that Israel's men had run away and that Saul (ditch, lent, hell) and his sons were dead, they abandoned the cities and fled. So the Philistines (those who dwell in villages) came and settled in them.
- ⁸ The next day when the Philistines came to strip the slain, they found Saul and his three sons dead on Mount Gilboa (revolutionary inquiry). ⁹ They cut off Saul's head, stripped off his armor, and sent messengers throughout the land of the Philistines (those who dwell

in villages) to spread the good news in the temples of their idols and among the people. ¹⁰ Then they put his armor in the temple of the •Ashtoreths (flocks, sheep, riches) and hung his body on the wall of Beth-shan (house of the tooth, or ivory, or of sleep). ¹¹ When the residents of Jabesh (dryness, confusion, shame)-gilead (the heap or mass of testimony) heard what the Philistines (those who dwell in villages) had done to Saul, ¹² all their brave men set out, journeyed all night, and retrieved the body of Saul and the bodies of his sons from the wall of Beth-shan (house of the tooth, or ivory, or of sleep). When they arrived at Jabesh (dryness, confusion, shame), they burned the bodies there. ¹³ Afterwards, they took their bones and buried them under the tamarisk tree in Jabesh (dryness, confusion, shame) and fasted seven days.

I'm sure most of you have at least heard of the movie, "Dances With Wolves". The title refers to the "Native American" name given to a cavalry officer in the movie. If I were to write the name he actually received, rather than the name's interpretation, it would mean nothing to you. The same is true of Biblical names. If you intend to read the Bible like a tour guide of a physical place without understanding the references of the metaphorical journey, you will remain blind.

Jonathan "given of God"
Abinadad "father of a vow, or willingness"
Malchishua "my king is a savior"
Gilboa means "revolution of inquiry".
Philistines "those who dwell in villages"
Israel "those who prevail in God"
Jordan "river of judgement"
Ashtoreths "flocks, sheep, riches"
Bethshan "house of the tooth, or ivory, or of sleep"
Jabesh "dryness, confusion, shame"
Gilead "the heap or mass of testimony"

The Bible makes many references to the Word of God, which is synonymous with His Law, as something to be "Girded" with, in the struggles we face. In some documentary I saw somewhere, they spoke of the love of the Law that today's Jewish people feel, and then they showed two Jewish men arguing the interpretation of a law that states that a man who steps on your property on purpose has broken the Law, while a man who trespasses by accident has not. The two argued vehemently about how to determine the difference. The "Old Law", the Jewish Law without Jesus' Gospel, ultimately led to the adage, "Good fences, make good neighbors". With the Age of The Christ we were taught to understand that it is better to forgive the trespass seven times seventy, (7x70) times than to build a wall between our neighbors and ourselves. With the death of Saul we are shown the devastation of Judaism without a "Head", which is Judaism without God as its head because of their insistence on a human king; as well as Judaism without its armor, which is the Word of the Bible that includes the Gospels of Jesus to come.

"Those who live in villages" represent the body of the People of Israel and they are said to, "Overtake" Saul (hell). Not to defeat, but to chase after it as their king: their head. At the same time his sons are killed on the mountain of that "revolutionary inquiry" made of God to be replaced by a human king. Those who prevail with God (Israel) watched from across the river of judgment (the Jordan) as those who dwell in villages (which is a reference to the body of a people rather than its governors) severed the head from the body. Within this story there are two plots. In one, Israel is Judaism watching across a river Jordan in judgment of them selves as they are cut off from the Head of their Religion and thus begin to fail to "Prevail with God". The other is Christianity looking back across time in judgment, as they have become Israel, "Prevailing with God". They will remain as such for three hundred years until the usurpation of Christ's Church by Rome. The armor will pass from the human king of the people to the flock, the sheep (Christians). The body, the people of Judaism, was put in the house of sleep (the house of the tooth, but that is for another time). The people, who

were left in dryness, confusion and shame because of the heap of testimony against their actions, retrieved the dead bodies, including those of the three sons that were now lost to them: The Democracy given of God (Jonathan), His willingness to be their Head (Abinadad) and savior (Malchishua). As for Saul's head: the "Head" that was above all others, it was never recovered. Nor was that original Democracy ever recovered because no other Democracy has of yet raised up a savior upon the shoulders of a body politic. But it is promised. Isaiah 9:6 (HCSB)

⁶ For a child will be born for us, a son will be given to us, and the government will be on His shoulders. He will be named Wonderful Counselor, Mighty God, Eternal Father, Prince of Peace.

In the parable of Saul, "The People of the villages", metaphorically referred to as Philistines, represent the "Body": the Hebrew masses. Having rejected God as their "Head" and that "Savior" removed, they are given a new savior. But the Sadducees and Pharisees of that Hebrew "Body" that ultimately replaced God as their "Head" in favor of the king they had requested, once again rejected a savior in Jesus: The Christ prophesied. "The People of the villages" on the other side of the "river of judgment" are referred to as Israel, which translated to "who prevail with God". After the arrival of The Christ Jesus, one is obliged to recognize God's "Anointed One" in order to "prevail" with God. So that Israel in this second case refers to Christians who, and I quote, "spread the *good* **news** in the temples of their idols and among the people"; which is, literally, what happens in Greece thanks to Paul. It also happens in Rome as Constantine reinvents and "Paganizes" Christianity by adding Mary and the "saints" to replace the idols Romans loved.

The parable of John the Baptist is much simpler and straightforward. Jesus stated that the only sign they would see would be the sign of Jonah, three days and three nights in the heart of the earth. In fact, Jesus has at least four "threes". Thirty years before He

is baptized. Three years of His ministry. Three hundred years before he is displaced as head of His Church by Caesar and three thousand years of the Age of Christ: two having passed and a third about to begin as He begins His reign (a day is like a thousand years to the Lord). The parable of John the Baptist foretells the three hundred years in which it is the Word of God as tempered by the Christ (The Christ Jesus and God now being as one) that is the "Head" of the Christian Church.

Our parable has four characters:

- 1. Herod is the Church of Christ without a ridged doctrine. A Church in which only the Word itself is protected and any interpretation that is in harmony with the Word and that brings Mankind closer to the light of the truth is embraced.
- 2. Herodias is the church of Rome known as Christianity under the rule of Caesar: calling himself ordained of God and persecuting anyone who challenges his authority with a fervor that far exceeds his persecutions of the Christians before he deposed the Father and the Son as the Head of Christ's Church. It continued to "spread the *good news* in the temples of their idols"; but the idols are replaced with Mary and those "saints" ordained by Caesar.
- 3. The daughter of Herodias, who dances before Herod to set a trap for Herod, is the "Body", the "Members" of the Church of Christ. Like you, they live in a world in which many gods govern the lives of the people under Roman control in the same way that the secular world tugs at you. Moreover, to stand up and say that Mary is not the Mother of God before Caesar is like standing up to the government of these United States and saying that the evidence presented by the "9/11 Truther Movement" is not adequately explained away by the story as told by the government. The Body of Christ's Church, like you, just wanted to live with their head ducked down below the radar of Caesar's tyranny and to that end, they danced at Rome's behest to have the conscience, The Word of God, the Law and the Truth, The Christ, severed from the Body of the Church.
- 4. Of coarse John the Baptist would not let Herod lay down in sin with Herodias. He spoke out against the breaking of the Law and so

32

he became the metaphorical "Passion Play" of the severing of Christ as the Head of His Church from the Body of His Church. All that will change when The Body lifts Jesus up once again upon the shoulders of the Body, and Democracy, in Law and in Justice, as Jesus taught it, is raised as the Head of the Government for a thousand years.

If you want to understand the secrets of the Mysteries of God, your going to have to look to metaphor as Jesus instructed. Your going to have to understand that it is English that was the language prophesied in Isaiah 28:11:

¹¹ For with stammering lips and another (an alien: in the Revised Standard Edition) tongue will he speak to this people.

The sentence above is about the people to whom God has said He would make all things clear. This next sentence below is about how He talks to "Who prevail with God" (Israel) when He sends them His messages. Ezek 3:5-6 (KJV)

⁵ For thou *art* not sent to a people of a strange speech and of an hard language, *but* to the house of Israel; ⁶ Not to many people of a strange speech and of an hard language, whose words thou canst not understand.

The paradox in the two statements is reconciled two ways. The first is that English is an "Alien" tongue that didn't even exist in the days of the Prophets, but is not "Strange" to the world today. The second reference is to the languages of the "Learned". After all, all the disciplines of University develop their own vocabulary just as theologians have.

So when you muse upon the testimony before Congress of the Federal Reserve Chairman Allen Greenspan and the double speak that was so associated with the collapse of a system built on lies and greed; consider whether it was the language of the Chaldeans or of

33

Nebuchadnazzar himself that the people of Judah were "unable" to understand: or was it the language of Wall Street that we, the People of a "tongue" alien to ancient Israel, can not understand? And is this passage below about a Christian Nation that prevailed with God, but turned away to other gods and idols and was divided? Check out "The Money Masters" by Bill Still at Google Video and see if you can identify the head of the Beast that all the other heads serve? Understand that the shoulders of silver in Nebuchadnazzar's dream from Daniel 2:31, were Rome's Christianity, the bronze belly was Islam, the Iron legs being Imperial Capitalism and the feet of clay mingled with iron being America torn between being molded like clay by God or making piece with the iron. Deut 28:47-49 (KJV)

⁴⁷ Because thou servedst not the LORD thy God with joyfulness, and with gladness of heart, for the abundance of all *things*; ⁴⁸ Therefore shalt thou serve thine enemies which the LORD shall send against thee, in hunger, and in thirst, and in nakedness, and in want of all *things*: and he shall put a yoke of iron upon thy neck, until he have destroyed thee. ⁴⁹ The LORD shall bring a nation against the from far, from the ends of the earth, as swift as an eagle flieth; a nation whose tongue thou shalt not understand;

So that when you are told in visions that the House of God is "Built" with three "Stories", your going to have to open your eyes enough to see the metaphorical meaning revealed in the English double meaning of the word "story". And when people tell you that the meaning of Peter's statement about those who are made to stumble over the "Rock", is about the Hebrew People who awaited a "Warrior Prince" rather that a "Prince of Peace", tell them that that is the first story; the story of Judaism. But Catholicism is the second story and Islam the third.

The pyramid was the symbol of Egypt with its slavery and oppression. Then God freed the Hebrews, (the Jews) and Moses gave them the five books of the Torah. In the Book of Job it was

made clear in chapter 26, verse 8 that the waters above were the rain clouds, which should have made it clear that in chapter 1, verse 8 of Genesis, God was referring to a construct called Heaven, that was available to the world any time it was ready to abandon all other constructs such as the pyramids of Egypt. Jesus understood what it meant to say the Kingdom of Heaven is at hand; the Kingdom of God is in the midst of us. It was He who unveiled the new construct to Mankind. But you will not find this translation of Genesis after the 1950's because it is a construct at odds with the teachings of the "Learned". So that when God told King David that no temple could house The God of Heaven and Earth in 2nd Samuel Chapter 7, He made it clear that He didn't want David to build a Temple; that it would be one of David's descendents who would build the type of Temple that He did want. David believed it would be his son Solomon. Solomon believed it too and he convinced the Jews of it as well. You can read Solomon's speech on the matter in 1st Kings chapter 7 beginning at verse 14. Even though Solomon gives us the account of God's Word to David, which was that the "Temple" was to be built in the "Hearts" of Man, Solomon conscripts his People into their greatest ever "Public Works" project. The cost to the Jews was so great that when Solomon died, the People went to his son and complained of how hard his father had been on them and stated that they would only serve him if he loosened the bondage upon them. Solomon's son promised to make their load greater than his father had. So, I'm pretty sure that the building of the Hebrew Temple, ultimately divided Israel into Israel and Judah when they rebelled. It was Jesus who understood the message of the Temple in the heart that unites the world, and that is what He taught: first to His disciples and then to Paul. But the walls of the Temple that Solomon had built had become the symbol of the Hebrews who had walled themselves off from the world (spiritually speaking). It remains their construct even today. This is especially sad when you remember that the Bible says that the New Jerusalem is a "City without walls".

By the beginning of the forth century A.D., Caesar had set himself up as "Pope" and head priest and "foundation" of the "Catholic Church". The "construct" employed, would become the steeple that pointed to "Heaven" in the afterlife only: and that only upon the condition of having served the pyramid of the Church of Rome for a lifetime. Like the Jews before them, the "Church" built great cathedrals to demonstrate the power of their pyramid, despite God's instructions to build instead, His Temple in one's heart: despite Christ's invitation to be as one with Him as He is one with the Father in order to level the mountain. They called Jesus "God" to build a chasm between His acts and our responsibilities as God's Children. They made it a crime punishable by death for the masses to possess a Bible and receive the "Word of God" for themselves. They perverted the Word and spoon fed the people a substitute doctrine, and taught the words in Latin by "rote", in defiance of God's instructions. They sold salvation for money and justified war. This "Christianity" under Caesar's Rome represents the silver breast and shoulders of the image in Nebuchadnazzar's dream as explained by the Daniel the Prophet. The silver appearance speaks to that which survives the refiner's fire as the "Dross" is removed.

Then Mohammed returned Mankind to the construct of An Eye for an Eye as a punishment, just as Rome had. Unwilling, or unable, to dismiss Jesus, Mohammed denounced Him as the Son of God, when we are all sons and daughters of God: some good and some prodigal. Prodigal; which is to say "Spiritually Dead" like the son who abandoned his father and the Law; but with the opportunity to be born again spiritually before we die physically without hope of being included in the second resurrection (the first resurrection being a rebirth in spirit of the entire world as a result of a new understanding as Simon Peter was). Whereas God proclaimed Israel His first born Son and Jesus His Son in whom He was "Well Pleased", Mohammed set himself above both by invoking the right of Ishmael, the first-born son of Abraham, by Hagar the Egyptian, to inherit; claiming that the story as told by the Jews was a lie. He delivered the words of the Koran as a poetic chant. And while he

36

had it recorded by scribes (as Mohammed, like most of those in Medina and many in Mecca, could neither read nor write), it was intended that the oral tradition be considered superior to the written version; leaving dormant that portion of the brain God intended for reading. He promised a "Bridge" to Heaven, but devalued the Bible as a source for the construction of the neural connections necessary to build that bridge: leaving his followers stranded east of Eden until death, just like the rest of the world. His Mosques' construction delighted in the same vanity that David passed on to Solomon and the "Great Churches". His followers built great towers called "minarets" that point up like steeples promising Heaven. They are called lighthouses, but there is no "light" in them. They are balconies for criers, rather than functioning as "Watch Towers", because they have been told that the last messenger came 1400 years ago. But if Mohammed was indeed the last messenger then it is incumbent upon him to learn of the Father through the Son and confessed prophet of Islam, Jesus, as explained in Matthew.

²⁵ At that time Jesus answered and said, I thank thee, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, because thou hast hid these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them unto babes. ²⁶ Even so, Father: for so it seemed good in thy sight. ²⁷ All things are delivered unto me of my Father: and no man knoweth the Son, but the Father; neither knoweth any man the Father, save the Son, and *he* to whomsoever the Son will reveal *him*. ²⁸ Come unto me, all *ye* that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. ²⁹ Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. ³⁰ For my yoke *is* easy, and my burden is light. Matt 11:25-30 (KJV)

Moreover, he must share that knowledge with the world and make clear what Jesus has left unsaid as reported: John 16:12-15 (HCSB)

¹² "I still have many things to tell you, but you can't bear them now. ¹³ When the Spirit of truth comes, He will guide you into all the

truth. For He will not speak on His own, but He will speak whatever He hears. He will also declare to you what is to come. "He will glorify Me, because He will take from what is Mine and declare it to you. "Everything the Father has is Mine. This is why I told you that He takes from what is Mine and will declare it to you.

Indeed, the truly enlighten must be able to read John, chapters 16 & 17 with understanding. Some in Islam have promised virgins in Heaven, when Jesus, whom Islam acknowledges as a Prophet, taught the Sadducees (Luke 20/27-47) that no wives are taken in Heaven. They have perverted the martyrdom of Jesus and the Saints into an act of terror when God said, "Thou shall not kill". Mohammed's followers travel from afar to Mecca, only to parade in a great crush, in orbit around a great cube: a monolith that none enter. They mimic Elijah's great whirlwind that took him up to Heaven, but like the desert Nations that carried these teachings from campfire to campfire, they are never elevated above the dust of the earth. They hear songs of prayer broadcast across their cities, bow on their knees five times a day to a God whose Word has been replaced with an interpretation that excludes what was sealed up by God in the Bible and unlocked by The Son in whom God is well pleased; the Son who was given the keys to Heaven and Hell. All of this, leaving Mohammed's followers divided between two main groups: both clinging to a genetic link to a Spiritual God.

Finally, Imperialist Capitalism used the Corporation to conquer all three of these constructs; even pitting one against the other, in order to return the world to the pyramid God had freed us from in the Exodus. It is Jesus who is the "Key" to understanding, just as He said He was. There is much to see, but it all emanates from The Christ who showed us through His words. He has divided the world as He promised He would and now He gathers together at the appointed time as He promised as well. He is the bridge that reconciles all the Peoples of the world. He is the mind and the head over the Body of Christ of which all those who believe in the Word

and the Law of God are a part. The neural connections established as a result of His sacrifice are waiting to be established in the People of the world whose birthstones were seen in visions of the prophets as the glittering souls that made up the Temple of God and The Christ their cornerstone just as the Hebrews believed. A construct, which is a highway, with mountains made level and chasms bridged, by the Gospel according to Jesus, that delivers us to the Kingdom of God. Moreover, just as these three Abrahamic religions were used to divide the world by design, they are at once, both a portion of the "Harlot" (Revelations 17) we are to "Come out of" and also the common ground that unites us. The common "Spiritual Ground" that we can build upon, when we realize as Sons and Daughters of God, that we are all one in Jesus, as The Christ told us that everything that belongs to the Father, was given to Jesus the Son in whom God was well pleased (John 16/14&15). So that all the Synagogues are Christ's, just as all the Mosques are Christ's by the word of Jesus, the Prophet of Islam, The Christ of the Hebrews, key holder of their holy words. I am His witness.

There can be no understanding of the timeline of Mankind's progression without first understanding what Peter taught us in 2nd Peter 3:8, which he learned from the Word of God in Psalms 90:4. That being, that a day is like a thousand years to the Lord. Remembering this, we understand that in January of the year 2000, the third "Latter Day' had just begun. Just as importantly, Jesus told us that those who blaspheme against Him would be forgiven; but those who blaspheme against the Holy Spirit would not. So that all those who strove to live according to the Word of God and who loved God and His holy ordinance to treat others as we would wish to be treated, are not found guilty before God so long as they sought the truth and the light and repented of their sins. Not until the Mysteries are revealed and Jesus reconciled before the entire world does that change.

We must never lose sight of the fact that Jesus, His Disciples and all those He sought to teach at His first coming, were Jews. These were the "Stiff Necked" People that God chose as His firstborn son.

Because they are a stubborn People who do not listen, "Judaism" rejected Jesus, but they held fast to the Torah and the Prophets and the rest of our holy manuscripts, note for note, intonation for intonation, as they were dispersed across the world to learn to speak in all the world's languages and losing the understanding of the ancient words, until they became something learned by rote to all except their "Learned". But in doing so, they protected "The Word" from the Catholic Church and all other "Churches", for Mankind's sake, just as God had planned.

Just as Jews made up many of the first Martyrs of Christianity, when the "Reformation" finally brought an end to the "Dark Ages", it was Catholic Priests who looked to The Word rather than to the pope, and who translated the ancient texts for the sake of the People, so that the People might know The Word of God. Like Judaism before them, as the Catholic Church expanded across cultures, it taught God's Word in the language of the "Learned", teaching it to the People by rote, against the decree of God. The Catholics of Rome controlled the flow of God's Word across Europe in the "Dark Ages" and deciphered The New Testament of The Gospel using the Latin texts available to them. According to the video "A Lamp in the Dark" (presented by Adullam Films), Constantinople had been the seat of power of the eastern half of Constantine's Roman Empire. That empire had split after Constantine's death, leaving the New Gospel's Texts in the language of the Greeks, in which it was originally written, separated from the priests of Rome, thus allowing the pope an entire "Latter Day" to prove his "fallibility". That changed when the Ottoman Turks, lead by the Islamic leader, the Sultan Mohammed The Second, captured Constantinople. Many of Constantinople's Byzantine Scholars, whose knowledge grew out of the Catholic priesthood of Constantine's separated eastern empire, fled to Europe ahead of the army of Islam, taking their Greek Texts of "The Gospel According to Christ" with them; and began teaching Greek to the priests of Europe. The work of those priests would show the misunderstandings and false doctrine taught by the church of Rome

and ultimately lead to both the translation of The Bible into English and to Martin Luther's proclamation against Catholicism.

None of this could have happened had Islam not taken over the ancient world as promised in Nebuchadnazzar's dream as explained by Daniel. This is the belly of Bronze that takes power from the shoulders of silver to deliver the Word of God to the world by chasing the Byzantine scholars westward into Europe. But like Judaism, that rejected The New Testament, and Catholicism, that misrepresented God's Word; Islam paraphrased the Word. Then all three taught in chants, learned by rote, in a way that removed Mankind from the revelation of God's Mysteries as surely as those mysteries were omitted from the Brahman's bird songs.

The great irony is that, once the Dark Ages had past and the "The Light" of The Word of God had been transported to the common man in the form of the European peasants and in particular the English Protestants, it would be Imperialist Capitalism in its attempt to enslave the world, that would carry the English language to prominence throughout the world, delivering the Word of God in English to be passed on, exempt from rote, thanks to the translations of the European "ex-patriot Catholic priests", made possible by the stubbornness of Judaism and the rebellion of Islam. Moreover, the English language that would become the common language of Mankind and the end of "Babble", would be a language that grew out of the ancient "lower German" language of the Angles of Germany. Germany, which would become the home of Martin Luther whose work saw a third of the population swept away in a war imposed upon the Saints who sought "The Word of God', by the Catholic Church that worshiped a man they called Pope. Angle being the German form of the English word Angel. Also material to the English language, were the languages of "Middle English" and "Old French" which were also known as the "Romavnt", or Remount, language used by Peter Waldo, renowned leader of the "Waldenses", to translate the Bible for the sake of his People in the 12th century, that they might know the Word of God. This occurring after a full "Latter Day" of persecution and Martyrdom of those

whom E. H. Broadbent has referred to as "The Pilgrim Church". A People who looked to "The Word" rather than to men and who used an old Latin text of the Bible from perhaps before the foundation of the church of Rome, and in opposition to that church of men. Having their beginning in the valleys of northern Italy a millennium before Waldo was born, they were slain, becoming Saints for their allegiance to The Word, even as the papacy bestowed sainthood on those who killed them. A papacy that now calls home Americans to its church by calling its leader the Rock and foundation of God's Temple. Advertising that it founded "Scientific Thought"; "Science" being the "Belief System" or "church doctrine", which advocates that there is no God, making all mankind as gods, knowing good from evil; rejecting Christ and becoming anti-Christ. Performing great signs that are referred to by their admirers as miracles and causing people to worship it, in awe of its wonders: the "False Prophet" of Revelations 19:20 which includes your stock broker's "false profit".

The Pilgrims that flocked to the New World would take the Word of God and of His beloved Son with them as they attempted to live accordingly. In so doing, they would become the "Clay" that the "Great Potter", God, would mold. But the Imperial Capitalists of Europe, and those like-minded, would come to the New World as well. Free Will as given by God, would do battle with slavery to a degree that would ultimately turn brother against brother and father against son as prophesied (Mat. Chapter 10). From among the Spirits that the churches refer to as "The Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse" (Zechariah, Chapter 6): The "Spirit" of the "Red Horses" would be manifest in the New Land as an appreciation of the "Natural World" that had ceased to exist in the "Old World". It was taught to the transplanted Europeans, who had conquered their Old World into total devastation; by the Indigenous Peoples of the Americas, who lived in harmony with the Natural World. It would blossom over time into a Nation Parks "movement" and mature into an "Environmental Movement". A Movement that would fight to withstand the Metaphorical flood sent forth by the metaphorical

serpent against the Earth and Mankind with it, in a way so similar to Noah's work, that his name would become a reference "evoked" to the work done in species conservation. That Spirit had existed before the arrival of the Pilgrims and it roams the earth still. The Spirit of the Black Horses was manifest in those who fled north to escape the captivity of Egypt reborn and manifest in the Southern United States; and God said it quieted (pacified) His Spirit. Those who resisted the loss of a place in the Pyramid at the expense of those enslaved would be called the Spirit of Bay Horses in the King James Bible. Consequently, the entire metaphor would remain an enigma to the combatants of the Civil War; sealed, until the Fascism of the Nazis, (the Head of the Beast that received a mortal wound and yet lives) was defeated. At which time and the "Dead Sea Scrolls" were discovered to reveal, that those Horses who headed south were actually "Dapple Grey". The Spirit of the White Horses headed west with those who called themselves "Pioneers" after the Christ, who was called a pioneer of the Lord. But they could only run as far as the ends of the Earth. In time, they would be overtaken by the Imperialist Capitalists. In order to survive they would begin to mingle with the legs of Iron that had unseated the Belly of Bronze, just as Herod had lain down with Herodius. Together the two would become the brittle feet of clay and Iron that mingled, about to be dashed to powder in the wind.

All these Spirits remain in the World, including the Serpent who sent forth the flood. You will recall the curious name given to Noah's ship. It has to do with a word that is caught up with a similar sounding word: arch. Archery, as it is called, takes its name from the trajectory of an arrow in flight. It is the ark, or arch, of the arrow that is similar to the curve of the "spine", the hull, or bottom, of a boat and also to "the arch of the bow after a rain", the rainbow. The Bible says that the rainbow is a sigh given to Mankind by God, that He will never again flood the Earth. It is a "Covenant"; "The Covenant of the Arch, or Ark"; a mirror of the "Ark of the Covenant" to come. The Ark of the Covenant "Contained" the Law given to Mankind through Moses to protect Mankind from Mankind.

The Ark "contained" the Law physically, whereas, we are to contain it metaphorically, and in our hearts. In Revelation 12:15 that old serpent, the deceiver, would attempt to flood the Earth, but through the coarse of the Bible's stories we learn that, like a "Martial Arts" warrior, who uses the power of their opponent's own thrusts against their opponent, God uses His opponents own advances against His opponents, or He doesn't allow their advances at all. Amos 3:6 (HCSB)

"If a disaster occurs in a city, hasn't the LORD done it?"

In this case, God has promised that never again will there be a flood to destroy the Earth. The serpent will not succeed. The "Law" of the Ark of the Covenant is the "Key". It is the "LAW" that will ultimately save the species Noah tells us of in his story of the Ark. It is a rainbow mocked by those the Bible refers to as the "cult male prostitutes". It is the Ark of the Archangel Michael, who battles the prince of Persia in Daniel Chapter 10 (Persia meaning: a Griffin. In this case a griffin that rips to pieces the body of the church carried to the wilderness upon the wings of Lady Liberty and Blind Justice, where the mustard seeds of truth "are" the doctrine which is never plucked up).

¹⁶ For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first:

1 Thess 4:16 (KJV)

To say that God doesn't communicate to us using metaphor is to ignore the way He had the Prophets act out what was to come as if they were in a play. It is to deny the dreams of Pharaoh as interpreted by Joseph and of king Nebuchadnazzar as interpreted by Daniel. It is to deny virtually all the teachings of the Christ Jesus.

I went to see my old uncle in the winter of 2010 and he gave me copies of three works. All three were concerned with radical religions. Each work dealing with one of the three in particular. The first was an Associated Producers film entitled, "Quest for the Lost Tribes of Israel", narrated by Simcha Jacobovici. It begins with the assertion that prophecy promises that at the end of time, all the exiles of the Twelve Tribes of Israel will return to do battle at "Har (mount) Megiddo", or Armageddon, a fortress city in northern Israel. He states that these People will gather themselves from "the four corners" of the world and in so doing they will bring on the Apocalypse and the beginning of the Messianic Age. (Four corners is a big deal in Judaism). It is the continuation of the ages old dream of Jewish domination over the world based on the Covenant that God made with His chosen People, Israel, whom He delivered out of Egypt. But the story is one story in a series of stories totaling three, as the House of God was seen in visions as having three stories. It is the acting out of a People who were free and who became enslaved in sin, so that they were cast out by God with the promise that one day, when they cast off sin, they will be allowed to return: The first story being the story of Adam and Eve. Then our narrator himself shows us a place such as those in which the People called Israel (which means "who prevail with God") made sacrifices to other gods and broke their Covenant with God. In failing to prevail, they lost their covenant and were scattered in their shame as was known from the beginning. In so doing, a new wine, requiring a new wineskin, immerged; a "stone", from which the "Waters of Life" poured, that Judaism rejects to this day: Jesus is His name. Like their Forefathers, they had no faith in the stone's ability to produce such water, and so they were excluded from the Promised Land until a new generation had come. That is the metaphor of the Story of why Moses was never allowed to enter the "Promised Land" (Exodus Chapter 17). A simple faltering of the People's faith that saw this man, who had done so much for so long, punished in such a harsh way, was really another "Foreshadow" and "Proof" of God's plan. Instead of seeing the metaphor and acknowledging that the "Covenant" was broken, our narrator shows

us men of differing "gene pools" gathered at the Wailing Wall in Jerusalem, wearing military garb and carrying automatic weapons. They are said to be from the lost tribes and from among those who are presently returning and others who long to return, to fulfill a violent interpretation of the scripture. He shows us an elder of a tribe from the mountains around Afghanistan who informs us that the local law says if a couple is caught committing adultery they are to be put to death. The elder then informs us that among "his" People the couple need not be caught, but only be suspected in order to receive this penalty of death and our narrator sees this as conformation of their brotherhood. We are shown all the missing tribes, complete with Priests, as he celebrates the violence to come. Unfortunately, they reject the most likely fulfillment of the promise. That is, the return of the people of the world to God in Spirit. The "return" of the Twelve Tribes, as it relates to Armageddon, is, I believe in reference to the division of the Land not to their return as such. The meanings of the names of the heads of the twelve tribes describe their Spirits. As all men, of all Nations, turn to God in those Spirits in order to prevail with Him, becoming Israel in metaphor, freed from corruption, prevailing in God, we find the end and culmination of all three stories: "a holy priesthood to offer spiritual sacrifices acceptable to God through Jesus Christ". I will tell you that I see the coming of a world divided among these:

Dan: Judgment: he that judges (to judge according to the Law of God)

Asher: happiness

Naphtali: that struggles or fights (who fights for justice)

Manasseh: forgetfulness, he that forgets (the forgiveness of trespasses) Ephraim: fruitful and increasing (to each man the fruits of his labor)

Reuben: who see the son; vision of the son (those who recognize Jesus as The Christ)

Judah: praise of the Lord; confession (the repentant) Zodak: just, justified (the priesthood of Christ)

Benjamin: son of the right hand (His arm, His mighty arm)

Simeon: that hears, that obeys (neither deaf nor blind and rises up)

Issachar: reward or recompense (the reward of the suffering of Jesus and a steadfast love of God) Zebulun: dwelling or habitation (the alter in the heart of man where the house of God is built)

Gad: a band or troop (the brotherhood of man)

The second study of a radical religion given to me by my uncle is called, "A Lamp in the Dark". It was a historical account of a form of religion that called itself Christianity, but that killed anyone who questioned its doctrine. It called itself Catholicism and it saw no conflict between killing its opponents and the Law of God that, "thou shalt not kill", or Jesus' teaching to turn the other cheek, or His example of death on the cross. While Judaism discounted Jesus as The Christ prophesied, Catholicism, having exposed its lack of authority over Christ's Church with false doctrine, simply asserted its right to rewrite scripture. The video gave several accounts and quotations of church leaders doing just that. The focus of the piece is on the Bible itself and how it was those who remained true to the search for God's true Word, who became the victims of the violence of this radical religion. In particular, the Christians who rejected Caesar at the founding of Catholicism and then those "People of the Valleys" who remained faithful to The Word, and that I spoke of in relation to "The Pilgrim Church"; then finally, those who dared to translate The Word of God for the sake of the world and those who embraced those translations.

The third video piece on a radical religion was entitled "Radical Islam, on the March"; by Coral Ministries. It spoke of Islam's difficulty with the Catholic assertion (an assertion shared by many Christian denominations), that Jesus was God incarnate on Earth. An assertion that is not true to scripture. Jesus called Himself Son of Man. God called Him a Son in whom He was well pleased. Jesus called out from the cross asking why He had been forsaken by His Heavenly Father just before God received Him to His bosom. Jesus was the first to travel the true path in the way of the Law given to Mankind by God. He was the prototype of perfection in love and peace, tolerance and forgiveness, wisdom and understanding, and, most of all, courage and honor through God: through God who was with Him as He prevailed with God. Islam seems to want to acknowledge Jesus as a prophet, while claiming that, that portion of the Gospel record that is at variance with the teachings of the Koran is wrong in the same way that it disputes the Old Testament record

that doesn't support Islam. But Jesus' Gospel supports the Old Testament even as the Old Testament is the foundation and proof of Jesus' Gospel. The Law handed down to Moses and distilled by Jesus to; Love God with all your mind, body and soul, and Treat others as you would have them treat you, is expanded to what is said to be 600,000 antidotes of how Mohammed would have you lead your life according to the "Hadith". None of which deal with issues that arise indescribable in terms from the seventh century. The video asserts that, by Islam's reckoning, there is no assurance that Mohammed, or even Moses and Abraham made their way to Heaven except that Mohammed is said to have seen them there; as Heaven is said to be promised, only to those who die in martyrdom, even if it is in the coarse of breaking the commandment, "thou shalt not kill".

While Jesus said His yoke was easy, Islam would have you slay your prodigal children as unbelievers, a task from which, Abraham was spared by God Himself. Islam's warfare against unbelievers is in parallel with Catholicism. Shariah law, as it pertains to women, finds its only equal in western Feminism and the way it is applied to men. The makers of the video cast blame on the Nation State of Saudi Arabia for using "oil money" to promote this radical vision of Islam toward world domination under Shariah Law. But who among the three religions would argue that it was not God who raised up Saudi Arabia by putting oil under it and building a world dependant on oil. By that same understanding, it should be clear what the fulfillment of the covenant of salt represents. The word Islam is said to mean submission. All three of these radical religions claim submission to the Will of God. But who among them embraces the "Peace" of God's Prince and true Messiah?

On this much, the three religions do seem to agree. There is a God. There is only one God. God gives us laws that we are to live by. With these things in mind and with the understanding that the prophets, who all three religions acknowledge, used metaphor; and that Jesus, who Christianity is founded upon and who Islam acknowledges as a prophet, also taught in metaphor saying that it

was so that the prophecy of the sealing up should be fulfilled: let us look at the foundation. In North America, where I grew up, the weather is severe. In the winter, the ground freezes, busting and heaving, so that in order to build a foundation for a home, we must dig down five feet or more so that the footings of the foundation are below the frost line. The frost line being the depth to which the ground freezes in winter. Once the footings are set in place, the building is erected over the footings. So that the shape of the house must conform to the pre-existing form of the footings. If the footings are set in a square with each corner at 90 degree angles and each wall thirty feet long equally, then so too, must the walls of the house constructed on the footings, be thirty feet long and joined at 90 degree angles. In the ancient world they built their cities on great stone outcroppings whenever possible to give their buildings the stability of a solid foundation. In order to insure the stability of a temple, you would want a great stone base to begin on. You would then decide on the shape and size of the building. Having done that, you would "lay out" the building and make level the surface by lowering high spots and/or raising low spots with bridging materials to make a level plane of the rock foundation with which you started. Having done that, you would lay the corner stone.

The laying of the corner stone is all-important because it is from this starting point that you draw your lines to find the "Four Corners". If the cornerstone is not correctly positioned, the lines extended from the sides of the cornerstone will lead your plans astray. Your lines will not remain on the foundation you have predetermined. Once the cornerstone is set and the four corners located, it is time to build the corners of the building, which are built first, in order to draw tight "the Mason's String Lines", straight and true and plumb, to show the location of the rows of stones to be placed in each "coarse" of each wall of the Temple.

Judaism held fast to a foundation solid as rock. Its footing is the Word of God, unchangeable and unchanged by meddlesome men over the millennia. This is the credit of Judaism before God at the second coming: its repentant penitence. The Torah, which is the five

books of Moses, make up one corner of the Temple of God in the hearts of Mankind. The second corner is the remainder of The Old Testament. But without the Cornerstone of The Gospel According To The Christ Jesus, there can be no line straight and leveled and true to set the Temple firmly on its predestined footing. Jesus warned that a house not built on a rock but rather on shifting sand would not withstand the flood. It shouldn't be difficult to see that Catholicism's doctrine is sand that shifts with a word from the pope and that Islamic doctrine shifts with any conflict between it and scripture, Old Testament or New. But the lines drawn on the foundation rock of God's holy Word was the Law "carved in stone" and given to Moses as "Ten Commandments" to be delivered to the world in Covenant. A simple plan of salvation, straight and leveled and true, given to the world without cost. Given to those who prevail with God and whom God Loves even as they love Him.

It was those martyred for the sake of the TRUE WORD OF GOD, who lived according to the simple principles: "Love the Lord your God with all your heart, with all your soul, and with all your mind. * This is the greatest and most important commandment. * The second is like it: Love your neighbor as yourself. * All the Law and the Prophets depend on these two commandments."

Matt 22:37-40 (HCSB)

It is these who have placed the three corners in their place upon the solid rock foundation, made level by the unalienable rights given to Mankind by their Creator. It is Jesus who has undone the misconceptions of the Pharisees and the Sadducees that were corners of the House of God, misplaced from their footings and having no straight line drawn from the cornerstone to the location of the other corners and, thereby, misplacing the stones to be placed as foretold:

"as living stones, are being built into a spiritual house for a holy priesthood to offer spiritual sacrifices acceptable to God through Jesus Christ."

1st Peter 2:5

You have, no doubt, heard that Jesus was a carpenter. But in today's world, with circular saw blades and milled lumber, we have framing carpenters and finish carpenters. In the days of Jesus, "finish" work was more about carving; and living, as it now seems He did, in a small village in proximity to a Roman metropolitan city under construction, it is likely that Jesus spent time building the wooded scaffolding structures for the stone masons who erected the buildings of the day. In any case, he would have had an intimate knowledge of those construction methods. And just as the metaphors given by God eluded the wise men in their chamber robes in the days of Jesus, so too is the knowledge of construction workers outside the realm of understanding of the "Learned" who try to decipher the secret things of God and His Christ.

Mohammed taught the legitimacy of these three corners of the Temple of God: though the video states the corners to be The Torah and the "Psalms" and The New Testament and it might have been "pillars" rather than corners. Then he gave his People a hard law that did not suffer the overindulgences of Pluralism and Paganism, Humanism and even Secularism, but neither did it allow for the compassion and tolerance of Jesus. It no longer acknowledges Jesus' example to die in the Law upon the cross rather that to die spiritually in violent opposition to His tormentors as it did in its infancy. This being the example by which those in opposition to the papacy, both Jewish and Pilgrim, resisted and overcame to deliver the Word of God to us today. In so doing, they delivered the "Spiritual Armor" necessary for the battle of words to come. In this way the two methods of martyrdom (violent versus meek) could be compared by a cynical world. The Jews died under Caesar. They died under the Crusades of Europe at the end of the first millennium

A.D. to become "The Ashkenazi", a Yiddish speaking people, who were members of the German experience and who claimed to descend from a mystic religion, which hailed from northern Italy. A People who believed that the words of their sacred texts could be manipulated to achieve a desired spiritual affect and that there was a hidden book within the pages of that Old Testament. It is also said that they were very interested in Ezekiel, chapters 1 and 10. It was the Ashkenazi who made up a large portion of those who died in the Holocaust. And while some did fight, the vast majority died in the martyrdom of submission to the Will of God rather than to an ideology of violence: in peaceful, faithful devotion, rather than violent intolerance. The same can be said of the members of the various Pilgrim Churches that include in their broadest sense, the Christians martyred by Rome and Islam over the millennia of the Latter Days. The Gospel according to Christ was locked up in the Greek texts of Constantinople two centuries before the days Mohammed walked the Earth. The Talmud of the Jews, which I understand to be the complete Old Testament, was dispersed and scattered across the world with the exile that scattered the Jews, who were carried off by Nebuchadnazzar and later by Rome, by the Will of God. So that there were many scattered copies of the Talmud that would have to have been changed to change the Word.

Moreover, we "struggle" (which is the meaning of the word "Jihad"), on the horns of a dilemma between the assertion of Islam that the Koran and its Law is "The Way" and Jesus' statement:

⁴ And whither I go ye know, and the way ye know. ⁵ Thomas saith unto him, Lord, we know not whither thou goest; and how can we know the way? ⁶ Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me. ⁷ If ye had known me, ye should have known my Father also: and from henceforth ye know him, and have seen him. ⁸ Philip saith unto him, Lord, shew us the Father, and it sufficeth us. ⁹ Jesus saith unto him, Have I been so long time with you, and yet hast thou not known me, Philip? he that hath seen me hath seen the Father; and how sayest thou *then*, Shew us the Father? ¹⁰ Believest thou not that I am in the Father, and the Father in me? the words that I speak unto you I speak not of myself: but the

Father that dwelleth in me, he doeth the works. ¹¹ Believe me that I *am* in the Father, and the Father in me: or else believe me for the very works' sake.

- ¹² Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth on me, the works that I do shall he do also; and greater *works* than these shall he do; because I go unto my Father. ¹³ And whatsoever ye shall ask in my name, that will I do, that the Father may be glorified in the Son. ¹⁴ If ye shall ask any thing in my name, I will do *it*.
- ¹⁵ If ye love me, keep my commandments. ¹⁶ And I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another Comforter, that he may abide with you for ever; ¹⁷ *Even* the Spirit of truth; whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth him not, neither knoweth him: but ye know him; for he dwelleth with you, and shall be in you.
- ¹⁸ I will not leave you comfortless: I will come to you. ¹⁹ Yet a little while, and the world seeth me no more; but ye see me: because I live, ye shall live also. ²⁰ At that day ye shall know that I *am* in my Father, and ye in me, and I in you. ²¹ He that hath my commandments, and keepeth them, he it is that loveth me: and he that loveth me shall be loved of my Father, and I will love him, and will manifest myself to him. ²² Judas saith unto him, not Iscariot, Lord, how is it that thou wilt manifest thyself unto us, and not unto the world? ²³ Jesus answered and said unto him, If a man love me, he will keep my words: and my Father will love him, and we will come unto him, and make our abode with him. ²⁴ He that loveth me not keepeth not my sayings: and the word which ye hear is not mine, but the Father's which sent me.
- ²⁵ These things have I spoken unto you, being *yet* present with you. ²⁶ But the Comforter, *which is* the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you. ²⁷ Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you: not as the world giveth, give I unto you. Let not your heart be troubled, neither let it be afraid.
- ²⁸ Ye have heard how I said unto you, I go away, and come *again* unto you. If ye loved me, ye would rejoice, because I said, I go unto the Father: for my Father is greater than I. ²⁹ And now I have told you before it come to pass, that, when it is come to pass, ye might believe. ³⁰ Hereafter I will not talk much with you: for the prince of this world cometh, and hath nothing in me.

³¹ But that the world may know that I love the Father; and as the Father gave me commandment, even so I do. Arise, let us go hence.

John 14:4-31 (KJV)

Many Christians will argue that this is a portion of their proof of Jesus as God incarnate. But these statements from "John" are about the love that God and Christ share for Mankind and the welfare of Mankind that comes through living according to the Law compassionately observed. As Jesus believed and represented NOTHING BUT the Word of God, our Heavenly Father, then to see Jesus was to know God, without Jesus being asserted as God. Just as difficult, is Isaiah: Isaiah 9:6 (HCSB)

⁶ For a child will be born for us, a son will be given to us, and the government will be on His shoulders. He will be named Wonderful Counselor, Mighty God, Eternal Father, Prince of Peace.

This statement cannot be ignored. It could be argued that it is the very misinterpretations of Rome that ultimately make Isaiah's statement truly fulfilled even though inaccurate. But that explanation doesn't seem satisfying. It seems less dangerous to try and understand it in the context of Jesus at the right hand of God and upon Jesus' own throne for a thousand years of the final "Later Day": Father and Son as one as our "Head" and WE the body. After all, The Bible is "One" because of God's instruction within the Old Testament, coupled as "one" with The Gospel of The New Testament. The first story being a "Cornerstone" placed upon a "Foundation" created by The Father. The second story being the Bible completed as one from two. The third story being The Reign of The Christ through the observation of Jesus' compassion applied to God's Law as "Head" over the Body.

It was Mohammed who called his Koran the forth book. A book of law to be studied in conjunction with the other three. But just as The New Gospel was placed firm and true upon the foundation of

the Law and in relation to The Old Testament, for the Koran to be placed upon the footings laid by God Himself, The Koran must be in line and true to the Cornerstone. John 16:12-15 (HCSB)

² "I still have many things to tell you, but you can't bear them now. When the Spirit of truth comes, He will guide you into all the truth. For He will not speak on His own, but He will speak whatever He hears. He will also declare to you what is to come. ¹⁴ He will glorify Me, because He will take from what is Mine and declare it to you. ¹⁵ Everything the Father has is Mine. This is why I told you that He takes from what is Mine and will declare it to you.

Jesus did not claim that the "Learned" of the Hebrew Temples had changed the Word of God. Instead, He showed how they had misinterpreted and even misrepresented the Word, even as He transposed His teachings over and proved Himself the Messiah with, the Word as recorded in what has passed to us as the "Old Testament". Moreover, He foretold the coming of one who would use the keys He gives, to unlock the secrets of the Mysteries of God.

As for me, I am simply a guy who as a youth was a "hoddy", a bricklayer's laborer in the employ of a friend of my fathers. I mixed the mortar and carried the block and the brick and sweetened the bricklayer's mortar with water while building the scaffold works up and tearing them down. When asked if I would like to become a mason, I decided instead to seek enlightenment in higher education. Having found none and displeased with the ways of the world, I found myself ever in search of work, ever moving, rolling down the highway. Now dedicated to showing you all I have seen.

That old uncle of mine was not content to give me the three DVDs. His most recent gift to me was a copy of a 3/27/2000 issue of the "Spotlight" which contained a transcript of an interview with William Lind. Whereas I have written often on my observations of the decline of our culture, he has some very interesting insights as to

the architects of that decline. You will not find that he and I agree on everything. For example, I believe that the "Rock and Roll" music of "my" youth spoke truth to power and searched for enlightenment: though we only found glimpses of it. Nevertheless, what he has to share is very relevant.

As I understand it, the people behind the "Spotlight" found themselves involved in a lawsuit that put them out of business and their assets went to those who filed suit. The website LibertyLobby.org says it is an online archive for the Spotlight's old articles, but I was unable to find Mr. Lind's interview in the portion of the 3/27/2000 posting I found there. Maybe it was just me. I was told that the same people who were involved in the Spotlight are now involved in the "American Free Press", but I may have been misinformed. Either way, if you are gong to seek out the article for yourself, I don't know where to send you. As so often happens to me, by chance, my uncle stumbled onto a ten year old copy he had put away and made me a copy of the original, only to forget where he found the original or where it is now. Nevertheless, I urge you to seek out the original, as this overview that follows is inferior.

The article quoted Mr. Lind as saying that a "rather obscure and difficult German Philosophy" was the source of what he referred to as "Cultural Marxism"; a deviation on what began as The Communist Bolshevik Philosophy of Revolution. Lind explains that this little known intellectual phenomenon of "Cultural Marxism" is what we now call "Political Correctness" (PC).

This "Movement" is said to be laid out in academic literature in both German and English, but not in the "popular" market. Lind said he came across it reading people like Raymond Raehn, and the references he found led him, as an historian, further in that direction. According to Lind, the Marxists believed that a "Great War" in Europe would see the "Proletariat" (the downtrodden classes) rise up against the rich and the ruling classes throughout Europe. Not only didn't it happen as such, but, for the most part, patriotism caused most of Europe's underclass to hurl itself into the slaughter of WWI in defense of the very institutions that oppressed them. The

thinking that immerged from the failure of the Marxist plan was the idea that the masses were blinded from understanding what was in their own best interests by Western Culture and the Christian religion (The Opiate of the Masses). An icon in this new approach to instituting Communist thinking into the mainstream, by the name of Lukacs, is quoted as asking, "Who will free us from Western Civilization?" This Lukacs goes on to write some papers on culture and Marxism. Lind says that, beginning with places like the "Institute for Social Research" at Germany's Frankfurt University, this new wave of Communist thinkers began translating the economic ideas of Communism into a Social (Cultural), model that we refer to today as "Political Correctness". When the interviewer asked Lind about Communists becoming Atheists and abandoning Christianity, he responded,

"It was all religions. Something more fundamental was going on here. Marxism, of coarse, had no need for God since it said that everything was determined by the ownership of the means of production, and this was the basis for everything else (including the culture). Marx described it as "superstructure". But these Marxists like Lukacs and those of the Frankfurt School are heretics. They are very much Marxists and open about their Marxism, but from Moscow's standpoint they are heretics since they say things like 'no, the culture actually is the important variable'. In 1930, Horkheimer said that the proletariat working class will not be the basis for the coming revolution because the proletariat was becoming the middle class. He didn't answer the question as to what will replace the revolutionary class."

In 1933 the Nazis came to power and these intellectuals fled Germany for New York, where Lind says they reorganized at Columbia University. When they all went back the Germany after the war, Lind says a guy named Herbert Marcuse stayed behind and became a kind of "Guru" to the "New Left" that grew out of the "60's", coining such phrases as "Make love, not war". Then our interviewer asks if this is where "Feminism" begins. Lind:

"Actually, feminism goes back a long way. Engels, Marx's compatriot, wrote on feminism. But much of modern feminism draws heavily from the Frankfurt School because Marcue's new answer to the question that Horkheimer posed in the 1930s as to who will be the basis for the revolution (if not the proletariat) was that it would be a coalition of feminist women, blacks, homosexuals, students and other marginalized elements in the society.

Marcuse was particularly aware, as was the Frankfurt School generally, of the importance of women in this respect, because if women leave their traditional roles, then the old culture is not transmitted to the next generation and since the object was to kill the old culture, the role of women – feminist women- in this coalition is central.

The trail of feminism, as I said, leads to all the way back to Engels, the compatriot of Marx. The Frankfurt School, and particularly Marcuse, understood very clearly that women are the carriers of the culture of the society. The most important job of any society is left to the women and that is the transmission of the culture to the next generation. So if you could recruit women into the effort to destroy the culture, you have struck the culture a deadly blow. That's what feminism has done.

Feminism expressly joins with the Frankfurt School and feminism's own origins, in many cases, are simply the Frankfurt School. Feminism joins with the Frankfurt School in saying that Western culture is automatically alienating and repressive of women."

Lind goes on the say: "Cultural Communism translates a lot of the traditional Marxist framework into cultural terms. Whereas the old economic Marxism said that the workers and the peasants are automatically good and the capitalists and the aristocrats are automatically evil (regardless of what an individual does) so the new Marxism says that black, Hispanic, homosexuals, feminists, etc., are automatically good and white males are automatically evil and, by the way, non-feminist women don't exist." Lind then goes on to explain how psychological conditioning techniques like "sensitivity

training" could be used to put in place the whole idea of "oppression" as the enemy to be defeated.

I believe this whole notion of political correctness has become the new religion that has replaced the traditional religions of our culture. Moreover, because "Political Correctness" has not been identified as a "religion", it is isolated from the restrictions we as a Nation have put on other religions with the separation if Church and State. While adultery and using the Lord's Name in vain, carry no civil punishment in our secular Government, speaking ill of women, minorities or any religion other than Christianity carry penalties ever increasing in severity. Lind explained the term "Critical Theory" as a term used to describe a strategy in which you criticize "everything". The idea isn't to overturn with violence he says:

"They say: No, you can't do that in Western countries. Instead, you have to engage in what Gramsci called "a long walk through the institutions" where first you take the cultural institutions, the schools, the churches, the entertainment industry, the media, and then you only take political power at the end, after you have captured all the others."

Lind talks about how he believes these attacks on our culture have influenced art and music and how it has been argued that children taught sex education before they are ready are adversely affected. He believes all this has produced a desired effect. In the end Lind claims:

"Marcuse wrote that what we need is a polymorphous perversity, creating a society caught in endless adolescence. [Marcuse's theory] became one of the key readings of the New Left in the 1960's. He is the one who coined the concept of "repressive tolerance"- the notion that the tolerance of a wide variety of viewpoints (what we call "freedom") is, in fact, a form of repression. He defines "Liberating Tolerance" as specifically tolerance for all movements from the left and intolerance for all movements from the right." ***** You'll

notice today that the politically correct immediately dismiss any attempt to talk about reality in, for example, immigration policy. That's "Prejudice". And this is part of the legacy of the Frankfurt School. What they specifically did was to define as "prejudice" anything that was critical of Cultural Marxism. They did it largely drawing from Freudian theory and defining what they called an "authoritarian personality". Adorno published a very influential book with that title in 1950. The book said that anyone who wants to uphold the old traditional standards has an authoritarian personality that's fascist in nature. If you listen to these people, the Nazis are going to come back."

Lind concludes by telling us about a guy from the Frankfurt School, a Freudian psychologist who believed in "matriarchy" and that a matriarchal society would be like "Nirvana". (Sound familiar?) Then he leaves us with a phrase he says we owe to Marcuse, "If it feels good, do it".

If you could read Lind's entire interview, you would notice that he describes the assault on Western Civilization quite astutely, but he fails to identify any of the forces brought to bear in opposition to that assault. In fact, Mr. Lind states that, those who promote the ideas calculated to institute this "Cultural Communism" refer to anyone who speaks out against the "Politically Correct", as a "Fascist". Then he infers, that there have been no Fascists since Nazi Germany fell. But what "action" is an equal and opposite reaction to a "Culture" that enforces an ideology that says that "blacks, Hispanics, homosexuals, feminists, etc., are good and white males are automatically evil and, by the way, non-feminist women don't exist."? What has the "rage" of the "Tea Party Movement" tapped into if not an angry Woman who can call home other angry non-feminist women to their disenfranchised and belittled angry White Men? What was the patriotic fervor of Hitler's Germany if not an attempt to re-institute an idealized version of a lost culture? The very same "New Speak" that is used to dredge up feelings of contempt for all things "White, Male, and Middle Class", have their

counterparts in expressions from "Single Mom", to "Life Partner", to "Affirmative Action". Mr. Lind speaks against those who would legalize drugs without acknowledging that the "War on Drugs" was a subterfuge to declare war on those who are not members of the "White" and "Upper" Classes. Though children of the "Ruling Class Right" (or Left) may well fall victim to drugs; their communities have not become "Police States" as a result. Rarely have their children gone to prison over such abuses. Instead, they go to "Rehab". And while the "Ruling Class Left" bleeds for those who suffer the oppression the "Drug War", they too are silent concerning the "Civil Liberty" of God given "Free Will" that the Drug War assaults; as they tend to favor "State Control" from the "Left as apposed to, from the "Right". The sports metaphors that are used to describe our politics did not emerge by accident. We have been divided like children in a playground for a contest that threatens the principles upon which this Country Under God and Indivisible, was founded, no matter which side is raised up as our "Head".

If you go looking for "The Spotlight" on the World Wide Web, you will find more articles in objection to its ideology than you will about the old Newspaper itself. I do not advocate the ideology advocated in the Spotlight. But that doesn't discount the light it sheds on the Power Struggle waged from the Left. Neither do I support the ideologies of the Left. But, as with the Spotlight, that doesn't discount the observations made against the Right by the Left. Anyone who believes one side's revelations and then closes their eyes to revelations from the other side is a blind fool.

How does the Catholic pope feel about a communist world in which religion is "outlawed", as contrary to "Cultural Communism"? How does Imperial Capitalism react to such an onslaught? By promising to be a better Master than The New Socialist Party? How will those demonized by Cultural Communism react to calls to return to the world of their "Father ('s) Land"? How does the Nation of Islam respond to a choice between oppression by Capitalism or oppression by Intellectuals and at the expense of their

last hope, which is an afterlife they believe to be contingent on dying a martyr's death? Which do you pray for, Stalin or Hitler?

With the discovery of the "Dead Sea Scrolls", "The Word of God" had been delivered, complete, to the World in the language of the World. Looking back over the river of time and judgment, we see the ruling "Class" of the Stratified culture of the Hindu's. In time, Pharaoh would narrow that ruling "Class" to a single point to become "Head" and pinnacle of the Pyramids of Egypt; and the enslavement of Mankind was the result. With the Jews the symbol would become the Wall of exclusion. The steeples of the "Self Proclaimed" Christians would point to Heaven "Above" rather than to the Earth, where Genesis points. The domed ceilings of the Mosques would cause their roofs to take the shape of a "Plumb Bob". But they too, pointed up, rather than revealing the four corners true. Before it was all over, it was the Pyramid and its slavery model that reappeared under Imperial Capitalism and the battle is not over yet in the Land of the Interstate Super "Highway", where the Internet "Highway" was conceived. Ironically, as most of the outcomes concerning God's Plan turn out, it was in the freeing of the north bound Spirit of the Black Horses that the groundwork was laid for the freeing of all Mankind; including those of the Spirit of the Grey Horses that roams the earth still: God's construct of the highway, in opposition to all others.

Imagine that two young people were being taught the game of basketball. For their first exercise each has the game explained to them by their coach. The second task is their attempt to learn how to make a "Free Throw" at the basket; again, after instruction. Who will be shown to be the better player?

Not all Americans are Christians. Not all Christians believe Jesus to have been God incarnate. Just as Israel was split into Israel and Judah by the harsh yoke of their king, not all Jews are active in the ways of their Synagogues. But within the Jewish community are those who adhere to the philosophy that all their members should be involved politically and that they should strive to lift each other up financially within the community and toward the goals of the

membership. When I was young, WE, as a Nation, denounced that type of nepotism within Christianity as Un-Christian and Un-American. But it is not contrary to Judaism. To the contrary, "ELIMENTS" of those affiliated with Judaism have used their economic base to promote an agenda that promotes its own members, even as it vocally denounces the nepotism of all "White Males" as immoral, even lawless, while, at the same time, promoting the nepotism of all minorities, and women. "White Males" being prejudiced as being "Anglo-Saxon Protestants" of the "un-enlighten" Middle Class. The result is that when two young men stand in front of the basket, one of them is given perhaps, three attempts at a basket and the number of baskets is recorded. The second is given one chance at best and the number recorded. The two scores are then compared and the proof of the superiority of one over the other is held to be self-evident. The game, as explained to the one, is about hard work and good ideas carried to fruition and the other is taught to understand that it is about money and nepotism: let the games begin.

Title through academia, title through authorship, title through "Foundation" or "Trust", title by microphone; all these are employed and spring from the well of economic nepotism that is expressed in the verb unspeakable; a byword among all People (1st Kings 9:7): to "Jew". Because it is just below the surface and protected by the "Politically Correct", all manor of subterfuge are speculated to be associated with it. Even to the supposed takeover of the world through the "Protocols of the Elders of Zion". There is no way to know, fore such things operate in the shadows under cover of darkness. Unfortunately, doubt is cast on the entire group because those involved in evil, hide among and behind the flock; and this flock defends all its members blindly from within its metaphorical walls and against the rest of Mankind. Listening to the chronicles reported in "A Lamp in the Dark", it is easy to believe the there is little difference between the goals of the nepotism of the Synagogues and the goals of the "Jesuit Order" of Catholicism. Understanding that Hitler was the son of a Jewish father, but raised

as a Catholic, all manner of possibilities emerge. If it is true, as I have heard, that the Jewish linage passes through the mother and not the father, how much more intriguing. How did the oppression that grew out of the debts placed on Germany after WWI by the "World Bankers" give rise to the Nazis? How did the Nation that gave rise to the Protestant Reformation end up trying to give rise to a thousand years, a "Third Reich" (Kingdom, Empire), of Germany; when it is Jesus who is to reign for a thousand years, but it is Catholicism that claims to be from the days of Jesus. It was the "Fair Haired" Charlemagne who defeated the Roman papacy, and who was crowned the first Holy Roman Emperor by Pope Leo III at Rome in 800 AD. Otto I, is the founder of the Holy Roman Empire of the German Nation in 973. It was Otto III who became the first German pope at the end of the first millennium (999). One of the Otto(s) will initiate the 1st Crusades and martyr the Jews in Europe who won't convert to Catholicism. But in the days that Jesus walked the Earth it was Rome that was invading Germany. It was the Nation of the Roman Republic that was falling under the control of a single individual called Caesar, who began to call himself god; putting an end to the Republic; that coincides with the "Commonwealth" (also Reich) of Germany and Rome in the days of our Lord. Germany's People would reject Catholicism in "Protest" half a millennium after Otto III, becoming more Protestant that Catholic as a Nation over the next half millennium that followed the Reformation, only to be beaten down in two World Wars; the second of which saw them led by a fanatic, who waged war on the entire world toward a predictable outcome and who would not allow them to surrender: all the while martyring the Jews. A period that ended a half-century before the end of the second "Latter Day": a time, times and a half time all together. To arrive at a time when George W. Bush, the grandson of a man, of whom it is said, once contributed materials to Hitler until our government made him stop, became President of the United States of America. George W. Bush, whose political frontman, Carl Rove, stated that the achievement he was most proud of, was putting us on a "war footing" (against what can only be seen as

"Radical Islam"). George W. Bush, the self-professed "Born Again Christian", who, when asked what he saw when looking into the eyes of the pope who began as a Nazi sympathizer, replied simply, "God".

Who can know what is done in response to what: or by whom? All we can see is an economic thrust in the direction of one ideology that must mask its objectives by rigidly defending against any inspection of anything associated with Jewish People in general; pitted against another radical force that uses the subterfuge of wolves in sheep's clothing to push for a political agenda aimed at domination of the world through the politics and supposed assassinations of Caesar. In a Nation composed of a 52% Christian Majority (not counting Catholics), we find the statistical anomaly of a Supreme Court made up of seven Catholics and two Jews, in transition to six Catholics and three Jews. Not to be outdone, we find Islam taking up the Nazi ideology of Jewish annihilation and moving west under the cloak of Christian Religious Tolerance, in an attempt to use democratic principles that are in conflict with the rigid monolithic structure of Islam, in order to institute Shariah Law upon Peoples who have welcomed them with Christian hospitality, even as those People's Governments have sold them, citizen and immigrant alike, into bondage to the Corporations. Gog and Magog, both of which mean "roof or cover", are on the move in the subterfuge employed by all four of these radical ideologies (Judaism, Catholicism, Islam & Imperial Capitalism). They and the ways in which they are interlinked with the International Bankers, are the enigma in the distance, that follows the pale horse (Revelation 6:8) and the harlot in procession, exposed in the unsealing of the sacred scroll of Revelation. Their acts are covered in darkness. They work under a roof of secrecy that none can expose in the other without exposing themselves. But when truth, "The Light of the World" comes to Mankind, all will be revealed and none can withstand it.

The slogan of the elections of 2008 was "Yes we can!" But the reality is that we cannot. Not alone. Fore God has promised a

solution from the moment that Adam and Eve were expelled from Eden as demonstrated by the Cherubim left guarding the way back (Genesis 3:24). The Buda searched within himself in vain for the enlightenment that would transport Mankind to Heaven on Earth, because it is not for Mankind to lead. We are to follow. Nor was it his place to receive the keys to the Mysteries locked up in the Ark of the Covenant, the treasure at the end of the rainbow, that awaited the development of Mankind through the Law God gave us. Instead, the Buda justified the oppression of the pyramid with the sins of past lives that never existed. Just as the Buddhist teaching that no animal's life may be taken, is undone by our understanding of germs and the "Immune System", so too is Confucius undone in the language of the Angels as "Confusion Teaching". The superstition that says that because a rock falls from its perch at a time that seems to make the event meaningful, the rock must therefore have its own spirit; is a stumbling block to the knowledge of God.

In the end, it becomes a question of allegiances. By the Will of God, we see the division of Ishmael and Isaac, of Judah and Israel, of Rome and Constantinople, of Shiite and Sunni, of "Old World" from "New World", of "Clay" and "Iron". Of all the leaders of all these factions, only one allows Himself to be blasphemed against until the "light of the truth" has been lit, because only one can withstand it. If your first allegiance is to God above all others, then the path you must follow is the one that leads you to God and His Law. We cannot become the prodigal son "returned", by worship in religions of superstition or the through the limited knowledge of Mankind, but only through the understanding that is revealed through the Word Of God. And when, at last, we are galvanized of one mind under the "Law" we will be able to move mountains. When our understanding of the world is "Framed" within a historical context that makes clear that the prophecies have indeed been fulfilled as promised, there will be no reason to fear. When you realize that the "Hell" on earth that the sellers of doom rant against is the "Construct" we have been given the tools to rise out of, and that the life you cling to so desperately is only a fiction sold to you

by evil persons of all the constructs in opposition to God: then and only then, will you be ready to rise to Heaven on Earth, casting off the spirit of your construct for the construct handed down from God Himself. I will share with you all that I have seen as a witness to the Lord. Open your eyes, your ears, your heart. Give of yourself the tithe of your time and the energy required to build the neural pathways that will allow you to see what no man has seen: to understand what no man has understood but the Christ. Free yourself and your children, so that all of Mankind will reach the Promised Land together, just as was promised from the beginning by our Heavenly Father. And when we are of one mind and of one heart, and in that heart is built the Temple of the Lord, our God: the appointed time will arrive and WE, The Elect, will stand and shout; not in anger or in fear, but against unrighteousness, and the walls of inequity shall crumble before us and the evil that men and women have done will be exposed, and WE shall be freed of it. Just as Israel once crossed over the Jordan, the Pilgrims crossed over the oceans to enter the Promised Land. Just as the Israelites fled Egypt, the Pilgrims fled the oppression of Europe following God. Just as the Israelites found the Canaanites defiling God's Law, those who came to the New World, found the People's of South and Central America making ritual human sacrifice to "their" gods and God afflicted them with Catholicism: a sword for a sword. To the North they found Peoples who tortured and "Raided" and worshiped idols and rocks and animals. Peoples who traded their woman as goods and, as Lewis And Clark would learn, offered up their youngest women to sexual rituals to bring back the Buffalo with the same lack of cultural enlightenment that sees today's African men, afflicted with AIDS, raping young virgins in an attempt to cure themselves. Into this land came a primitive Christian People; men and women of God who shared with them the Word as best they understood it. And with them came men of the sword and God sorted out according to His will from both sides.

Pick up the Law and circle the seat of power of those who perform inequity and soon The Kingdom of Heaven on Earth will be established with a shout, flowing eastward from the Throne of God. The House of God will be built in the hearts of those who are its (jew)els and its walls will be built of those surnamed Peter, which means stone, in recognition of their understanding. There will be no walls around the city and all of Mankind will be allowed into the hearts of those who follow the Word of God with the understanding of His Son:

¹⁸ And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it. ¹⁹ And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven.

Matt 16:15-19 (KJV)

¹³ Whatever you ask in My name, I will do it so that the Father may be glorified in the Son.

John 14:13 (HCSB)

And remember how He taught you to pray.

In the Book of Revelation, the last chapter of the last testament of the Bible, ends with a warning. It states that those who change any of the words of the Bible will suffer all the horrible things from the stories the Bible contains. Those who await the great cataclysm of the return of The Christ have been taught to believe as they do by those very people who changed the words. This is to say that Judaism exclude the New Testament, Christ's Gospel, from its holy books. For its part, Islam discarded the entire history of God's walk with Mankind and wrote its own manuscript called The Koran. The entire history of the "Catholic Church" since Constantine has been based on perverting the foundation of Christ's Church to be a single

disciple rather than the Word of God itself and elevating "the Son of man" made "one" with God in Law, to the position of God Himself. So that since the days in which the Book of Revelation was written, it can truthfully be said that all the evils mentioned in the Bible have been visited upon the Earth as forewarned: the latest injustices having come with the removal of the location of Heaven itself from the first chapter of Genesis. When the Kings of old traveled anywhere, it was always with a procession that traveled ahead of the King to announce his coming. The "criers" cried out and the trumpets trumpeted so that the people could gather and show the respect worthy of that King. Those who preceded the King were said to "goeth before" him. So that when the Bible asserts that "Pride goeth before a fall", it is incorrect to interpret the meaning to be that pride departs before we fail, but rather, that pride announces the coming of our failures. By that measure, I am always astonished when Mathematicians buy into the notion of "Chaos Theory". Of all the things in the Universe, nothing is more constant than numbers and the way they interact, with the exception God Himself. Never the less, there are, to be sure, those whose "tunnel vision" is so acute as to allow them to imagine Mathematics as a product of "Chance". Among such thinkers, there will almost certainly be those who look at what I have written here within and "Frame" an alternative explanation. Whereas I extrapolate that the Brahman built a religion gleaned from the Natural World, of which they were a part; that would be altered as the world developed Language: they may advocate that language itself arose from the imitation of bird songs. But even if they are correct, it puts no imperative against God's involvement in the singing of birds or even in the existence of the birds themselves. I am inclined to believe that the Cast System of the Hindus likely grew out of the natural pecking order of a small society, in where the ascent to the Throne through violence was replaced with the implementation of religion as a means to establish the "Status Quo" in perpetuity. I am inclined to believe that Language itself had to be developed in order for this to occur. Keith Reid, who wrote the words for Procol Harum, put it this way. "If I

can communicate, (if) in the telling of the bearing of my soul anything is gained***". I also believe that the self-expression that both developed and was developed by, Language, might just as easily be expressed as the point in the evolution of Mankind in which WE made the choice to abandon the path we knew to be in harmony with God and chose instead, the layers of the Cast System over the "Highway" of God. A story that could well be expressed as a point at which We chose to be as gods through Kings and Priests, deciding what was right and what was wrong (what was good and evil), rather than following empathy and tolerance and the treating of others as we would wish to be treated; and in so doing, we were cast out of Eden.

Sometime between the development of the "Bird Songs" of the Brahman Priests and the building of the pyramids of Egypt, language had made its way to the ancestors of the Pharaohs. What had begun as a "Cast" would develop into a "Stratification" within a much larger and complex society that substituted slaves for the "Unclean" of the "Cast". Never the less, anyone wishing to contest the existence of God could argue that the idea of a God was transmitted through the new "technology" called language. Whereas I suggest that God embraces Mankind at the "Spark" of humanity, which is language; one could just as easily argue that the gods of nature, worshiped by the Brahman Priests, led to the development of language itself, so that the belief in gods was entrenched within the language. If that were the total truth, then it would be logical to assume that a new religion like Judaism would draw upon that ancient knowledge to assert that God came with the "Word". That would leave Mankind to the logical conclusion that the worship of the God of Abraham is no more enlighten that the worship of birds, if one were as deluded as a mathematician who believes in "Chaos". This is the arrogance, the pride that "goeth" before a fall. It is the logic that ignores the connection between "The Word" and the energy that IS the Universe; that ignores the passing of time and the development of languages that did not exist when the secrets of the mysteries of God were concealed within those languages to come. It

is the view of the Universe through a telescope that sees a pinpoint without grasping the whole. What was promised to come to be, has come to be as promised, though you were too blind to see; as was likewise promised. The "Rubik's Cube" that is time on one side, language on another, sentence structure on a third, an open mind on still another, the keys of The Christ Jesus on another still, and human history on still another, is still an over simplified metaphor for the Mathematics of God's Word.

The Book of Revelation warned what would befall Mankind if any "The Words" were tampered with and it has come to pass that we have suffered them all as promised. I have added this last portion as a similar caution to those of you who would close your eyes to what I would share with you, out of your own pride, so that you might avoid the fall that pride goeth before.

Spirit

Concerning "Spirit"; let me begin with the statement that our spirit resides within our bodies as long as our bodies live. I'm sure you are all familiar with "Team Spirit". You've all heard someone say, "That's the spirit". Now consider the spirit of a warrior. Military warriors make war against bodies with violence. They might even make war against a mind with propaganda and fear. But the warrior Jesus, The Christ of prophesies, taught a new warfare. A Spiritual Warfare which used love, tolerance and understanding and forgiveness to defeat spiritual death; a technique which often requires far more courage and often times, every bit as much personal sacrifice as military warfare. The story of the Prodigal son is the story of a young man who abandoned the rule of his father and became spiritually dead even though his body was fine. When he returned home he had had a change of heart; a change in Spirit. Jesus told the result in a parable: Luke 15:32 (KJV)

³² It was fitting that we should make merry, and be glad: for this thy brother was dead, and is alive again; and was lost, and is found.

This idea that we can be dead in spirit while our bodies roam around alive is born out in: Luke 9:59-62 (KJV)

But he said, Lord, suffer me first to go and bury my father. ⁶⁰ Jesus said unto him, Let the dead bury their dead: but go thou and preach the kingdom of God. ⁶¹ And another also said, Lord, I will follow thee; but let me first go bid them farewell, which are at home at my house. ⁶² And Jesus said unto him, No man, having put his hand to the plough, and looking back, is fit for the kingdom of God.

Just as importantly, in line 62 above, we see the meaning of the man in: Matt 12:43-45 (KJV)

"43 When the unclean spirit is gone out of a man, he walketh through dry places, seeking rest, and findeth none. 44 Then he saith, I will return into my house from whence I came out; and when he is come, he findeth *it* empty, swept, and garnished. 45 Then goeth he, and taketh with himself seven other spirits more wicked than himself, and they enter in and dwell there: and the last *state* of that man is worse than the first. Even so shall it be also unto this wicked generation."

"This generation" referred to, is any person of a Spirit that has them believing that their work is completed at their baptism. It is any people who find nothing but corruption in the world but see no complicity in that corruption in themselves; People who believe that they have solved the secrets of the mysteries of God and who then close their eyes to the messenger to come; fore in closing off the light in their conceit, they become hypocrites before the world who give voice, and become examples to be used by, spirits that are not holy, to make their unholy case to the world. They give themselves to Christ in body and take on seven demons when they find

themselves alive in spirit before the Lord when they are in fact dead in spirit. Jesus told you. Matt 11:25-30 (KJV)

"25 At that time Jesus answered and said, I thank thee, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, because thou hast hid these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them unto babes. 26 Even so, Father: for so it seemed good in thy sight. 27 All things are delivered unto me of my Father: and no man knoweth the Son, but the Father; neither knoweth any man the Father, save the Son, and *he* to whomsoever the Son will reveal *him*. 28 Come unto me, all *ye* that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. 29 Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. 30 For my yoke *is* easy, and my burden is light."

Moreover, he to whom it is revealed, must share that knowledge with the world and make clear what Jesus has left unsaid as reported: John 16:12-15 (HCSB)

"'I still have many things to tell you, but you can't bear them now. "When the Spirit of truth comes, He will guide you into all the truth. For He will not speak on His own, but He will speak whatever He hears. He will also declare to you what is to come. "He will glorify Me, because He will take from what is Mine and declare it to you. "Everything the Father has is Mine. This is why I told you that He takes from what is Mine and will declare it to you.

If you say that this Spirit of truth reveals "ALL" in the Book of Revelation, then explain all the parables of Jesus, the meaning of the horses, red, black, white and dapple gray; the Nations of which Nebuchadnazzar dreamed, the number of the beast and the head that received a mortal wound, the head that all the others serve and who or what they represent. Who is the Harlot? What is written on the Sacred Scroll? What is God's plan? Where is His throne in the clouds?

We find further evidence concerning Spirits in: Luke 20:34-38 (HCSB)

³⁴ Jesus told them, "The sons of this age marry and are given in marriage. ³⁵ But those who are counted worthy to take part in that age and in the resurrection from the dead neither marry nor are given in marriage. ³⁶ For they cannot die anymore, because they are like angels and are sons of God, since they are sons of the resurrection. ³⁷ Moses even indicated [in the passage] about the burning bush that the dead are raised, where he calls the Lord **the God of Abraham and the God of Isaac and the God of Jacob.** ³⁸ He is not God of the dead but of the living, because all are living to Him."

In the above passage we learn about those who are Spirit only and without bodies able to marry and they are worthy to take part in the age of the resurrection from a dead. A Spirit that can neither die anymore, nor marry. But you must understand the difference between the dead in Spirit that are raised at the first resurrection and the dead in body whose Spirits sleep; like Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. The Angels depicted in the Bible have bodies and serve the God of Abraham. The quote above says that they are "Like" Angels, which is a reference to the type of Spirit they are. King James and The Revised Standard use "equal to" in place of "Like". But the Spirits are not the same as Angels that have bodies. Line 36 seems to indicate that they don't marry "because" they are "Like" Angels, but as often happens in the Bible, these two truths are independent of one another, not one caused as a result of the other. Line 36 has more to do with line 37 than with line 35. This story was handed down orally. It was a "scribe" who decided whether or not to start a new paragraph at line 36 thus helping to "Seal" the Word. What it is saying is that they are Spirits that will not face the second death at the judgment because their Spirit lives on after the death of the body because they did God's Will, like angels. Consider: Luke 8:52 (KJV)

⁵² And all wept, and bewailed her: but he said, Weep not; she is not dead, but sleepeth."

It is clear from the testimony of those present that the little girl's body had died. Jesus demonstrates in this act that a soul, a Spirit, that is alive, is not dead even when the body has died. Had the girl's Spirit been dead in the Lord, Jesus would not have referred to her as sleeping but as dead. Nor would He have raised her body as He had instructed others to "Let the dead bury the dead".

So let me restate it now and you hold your judgment until I have made the rest of my case: There is a first resurrection and there is a second. The first is of the Spirit and it will finally make the world a footstool at the feet of our Lord Jesus (as promised by the Father), for a thousand years in the Latter Days, by raising the dead in Spirit whose bodies roam the earth like the Prodigal son who was dead and became alive again. The second resurrection comes at the end of days in which the dead neither marry nor are given in marriage.

** For they cannot die anymore, because they are like angels and are sons of God, since they are sons of the resurrection.

I want to make one last point concerning the seven evil spirits and the turning back from the plow, as it related to my Lord Jesus. The Catholics preach that Jesus was God the Father on Earth. The Witnesses teach that Jesus was in Heaven with God for millions of years before coming to Earth. Both statements are wrong and both diminish the glory of Jesus' Spirit. For all its admirable qualities, language is not a mathematical equation. It can be ambiguous and even misleading even at a time when the truth is being spoken. John 8:57-58 (HCSB)

- ⁵⁷ The Jews replied, "You aren't 50 years old yet, and You've seen Abraham?" Jesus said to them, "•I assure you: Before Abraham was, I am."
- ⁸ Philip saith unto him, Lord, shew us the Father, and it sufficeth us. ⁹ Jesus saith unto him, Have I been so long time with you, and yet hast thou not known me, Philip? he that hath seen me hath seen the Father; and how sayest thou *then*, Shew us the Father?

¹⁰ Believest thou not that I am in the Father, and the Father in me? the words that I speak unto you I speak not of myself: but the Father that dwelleth in me, he doeth the works. ¹¹ Believe me that I *am* in the Father, and the Father in me: or else believe me for the very works' sake.

John 14:8-11 (KJV)

He was from the beginning because God has known everything from beginning to end (even as we live it of our own free will, one moment at a time) and Jesus became one with God. If you get caught up in trying to understand God's time, which is beyond your understanding, you will remain lost in your superstitions. Furthermore, Jesus is the Alpha and the Omega of the age of Christ. That age is referenced by Jesus himself when He is asked for a sign and He tells them that they would receive no sign except the sign of Jonah: three days and nights in the belly of a great fish for Jonah, three days and three nights in the heart of the earth for Jesus, son of man. (Mt 12:38-42) This is a reference to the Temple we are to build in our hearts (heart of the earth), not to His time in the tomb, which had only two nights (a day is like a thousand years to the Lord). In fact, I believe Jesus preached His Gospel for three years, beginning when He was thirty. He remained the Head of the body of His Church for three hundred years until His leadership was usurped by Caesar Constantine as pope, making Jesus' numbers 3,333 and in opposition to the number of the beast, which is .666. Jesus was born of flesh and blood on the day and in the manor prophesied. He grew up a carpenter, whose Jewish government was subjugated under Roman rule in the same way that our American government has become subjugated under the corporations and the Imperial Capitalists who control them. As such, he built things with his hands that ultimately made Rome more powerful and he paid the taxes required that were used to make war on anyone who challenged Roman authority the same way you must pay your taxes and to the same end, saying, "Render unto Caesar that which is Caesar's".

When he came to John the Baptist, John could not understand how he, John, could baptize the Christ. But Jesus became Christ in the Baptism as spoken by the Father down out of Heaven and with the descending Holy Spirit made manifest in the true fact that He would never again contribute to the harlotries of the world, as you must. Even His tax money, would be provided by the Father, in the mouth of a fish. You who have witnessed door to door to angry voices should understand the valor and the glory of bringing a "New Thing" into a land such as the one Jesus carried His cross into. He is not diminished by the recognition of his youth and his humanity and His obedience to God the Father. To the contrary, He is raised above all others. Once having been cleansed in Baptism, his house truly remained cleansed. Having once begun to plow, He never turned away.

As for me, I have not turned away since this sight came to me; but like Paul, the tent maker, I am trapped in the world. Nor could you be expected to hold to the plow if there were no plan with which to plow. Jesus has followed God's holy plan and plowed the first row of His Holy Garden. I simply plow my row in parallel as best as I am able. That is the spirit I strive for, though I was once, truly, like the Prodigal son toward my Father in Heaven.

Lastly, if you think of the angels sent down in to Sodom, to the house of Lot, you will remember that those who called out from outside Lot's house saw no outward difference in the appearance of the angels from any other man. This understanding is important as you go forward, fore the angels of the Bible are not said to have wings (with the exception of Cherubs and Seraphim, which is a whole other discussion). That notion is born of doctrine. It is confusion about the two women who carry the woman (who gave birth) safely into the wilderness in Revelation. But those women are "Lady Liberty" and "Blind Justice" and they carry Mother Church, the true church of Christ, into the wilderness where the "mustard seeds" of truth are not set upon as weeds amid the carefully manicured garden, which is church doctrine.

Heaven

The people we read of in the Bible lived in a time of tent houses that can still be seen in the Middle Eastern deserts today. They are not constructed like the domed tents we take camping here in America. Neither are they shaped like the triangular tents of my youth. They are structured for living, with a tent pole, or poles, at their center and other, slightly shorter, tent poles around their perimeter. This makes the living space greater than a triangular shape, but it has a draw back in so far as shedding water. That's not much of a draw back in the desert. But just the same; in a downpour, water will gather on the sagging roof if one isn't careful and the more that gathers, the more the roof sags, which holds more water and weight until, if one isn't careful, it could rip the goat skin fabric of the roof. That is the limitation of the structure and of the material. But it was and remains the material of choice for the desert goat herders. They even use the skins for carrying water. But as with the roof, there are limits to the strength of the skins. But there is no limit to the strength of God or to the ability of His structures to carry water as expressed by Job: Job 26:7-8 (KJV)

⁷ He stretcheth out the north over the empty place, *and* hangeth the earth upon nothing. ⁸ He bindeth up the waters in his thick clouds; and the cloud is not rent under them.

So we see that the "waters" of the rain are carried in the thick clouds and despite the volume of water carried in the clouds, unlike the tent roof or the water bag, the clouds are not "rent" (torn) by the immensity of it.

I'm going to include this next line for your edification; but I cannot explain it to you without your viewing the first portion of "The Visions of Ezekiel": at which time it should become crystal clear. Job 26:9 (KJV)

⁹ He holdeth back the face of his throne, *and* spreadeth his cloud upon it.

For this next line I've used the HCSB version, as it makes the point more clearly: Job 26:10 (HCSB)

¹⁰ He laid out the horizon on the surface of the waters at the boundary between light and darkness.

Let us now begin at the very beginning. Turning to the first Chapter of the first Book of the Bible, we arrive in Genesis, even before Adam and Eve are created. But before we do, let's do a little stretching of your understanding. Lets say that we're looking at a calendar to see when a series of events is going to happen. Let us imagine that the Witnesses are going to have meetings on 1/2/2011 and on 6/5/2011 and on 7/15/2011 and on 10/29/2011 and a number of other days that are not evenly spaced. Lets say that at the first meeting you were going to discuss Genesis and at the second meeting it would be Exodus and at the third Leviticus and so on until you have covered the first seven Books of the Bible. It would be correct to state in such an example that: on the first day that you met you studied Genesis and on the second day you studied Exodus, wouldn't it? And by that same logic, if the first life forms appeared on the planet Earth at the depths of the oceans near where life has recently been found near sulfurous vent holes at the ocean floor, it could be stated as the third event, the third day on the calendar of events in the creation of the world. Here's another exercise: Think of a "Star Trek" episode that you've seen. Any episode at all and write down something that happened in it. WAIT. What was wrong with the sentence, "Think of a "Star Trek" episode and write down something that happened in it"?

Accept the example above, or reject it. Either way, by verse six of Genesis, chapter one, God has spun the "formless" Earth into a ball and separated the "Waters" from the "Waters" with

"Firmament". The waters above are the clouds not rent by the load and the waters below make the horizon. So that if it is not "quicksand" or "bog" or "bottomless pit"; but is rather, "Firm", it is proclaimed in Genesis to be: Gen 1:6-8 (KJV)

"6 And God said, Let there be a firmament in the midst of the waters, and let it divide the waters from the waters. 7 And God made the firmament, and divided the waters which *were* under the firmament from the waters which *were* above the firmament: and it was so. 8 And God called the firmament Heaven. And the evening and the morning were the second day."

No, this in no misprint. This is Heaven: the Heaven in the midst of us. The Heaven at hand: meaning within reach. This is the Heaven in which the Garden of Eden was made and the Heaven in which the serpent tempted Eve. And the serpent, who is Satan, was there when Job was being tested as well. Job 2:1-2 (HCSB)

"One day the sons of God came again to present themselves before the LORD, and Satan also came with them to present himself before the LORD. The LORD asked Satan, "Where have you come from?" "From roaming through the earth," Satan answered Him, "and walking around on it."

Corruption

The death that kills the Spirit comes from the corruption of the soul through the turning away from the Law of God. The metaphor for that Spiritual corruption is the corruption of the body that kills the body and it is referenced in many forms in the Bible. One of the most feared misfortunes of the ancient world was to become a leper. Leprosy was incurable in the days in which the Bible was recorded. Only the kindest of people dared to associate with those who carried the dreaded disease, out of fear of becoming infected

them selves. Of course Jesus was renown for going among the lepers and even for healing them. It was metaphor for raising the dead in Spirit, but it was also similar to the raising of the girl who was alive in Spirit even though her body was dead. To be a leper was to be counted among the dead. It was to be an outcast of society as a result of being afflicted with the corruption of dying flesh, in the same way the dead in Spirit will be cast out of Heaven as a result of the corruption of their spirits. In the Temple rituals, things that are holy and then touch things that are unholy become unholy them selves. Those included in Heaven have a "Holy" Spirit that remains uncorrupted by life or has been redeemed from that corruption. Most religions twist this corruption of the flesh into a mandate against nakedness. After all, there are numerous examples of nakedness being associated with sin in the Bible. Even the word of our Father in Heaven says not to look upon the nakedness of one's mother or one's father. But they have the metaphor backwards.

Gen. 2:25 And they were both naked, the man and his wife, and were not ashamed.

Gen. 3:7 And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons. ⁸ And they heard the voice of the LORD God walking in the garden in the cool of the day: and Adam and his wife hid themselves from the presence of the LORD God amongst the trees of the garden.

⁹ And the LORD God called unto Adam, and said unto him, Where art thou? ¹⁰ And he said, I heard thy voice in the garden, and I was afraid, because I was naked; and I hid myself.

¹¹ And he said, Who told thee that thou wast naked? Hast thou eaten of the tree, whereof I commanded thee that thou shouldest not eat?

I can remember a lot of Bible ideas and verses, but I have a hard time remembering exactly where they are within the Bible's texts. So I use a program that I bought and its "search" feature combs through the King James and Holman versions. When you look up the key words "original" and "sin" together, using the program, it finds no such reference in the either Bible. That's because there is no such thing. A baby born naked into this world hasn't sinned by being naked or for being created out of the act of sexual intercourse. Adam and Eve were naked and without sin until they decided they could be as gods, deciding what was good and what was evil. What's more, every child has an angel (a Spirit) that passes before the face of God. No innocent child is found corrupted by their nakedness and yet there are sick pedophiles who are among the most damned: Damned for the lust that "They", and not the innocent babes, bring to that nakedness. So it is, that with maturity, lust enters the equation of nakedness. In the Middle East, strict Islam makes women cover even the nakedness of their faces. In the west, women "Frame" their "cleavage" and present it to the world adorned with necklaces in order to tease lust while heaping scorn upon the men that they accuse of objectifying them. But ultimately, the lust brought on by revealing a women's face in public is counted against the one who has lusted and not against the woman for having a face that God gave her. Conversely, if lust was the intended consequence of an action, it can't be counted against the one whose eyes were exposed to it. It is not nakedness, but lust that is used as a mechanism in Western advertising that sells with sex rather than with product worthiness. This is the corruption of the Spirit.

Just as youth is fleeting, so is the purity of human beings Spirits fleeting. The two go hand in hand in metaphor. Wrinkles, graying hair, age spots, sagging skin, withered muscles and failing teeth: these are the outward signs of the corruption of the body that leads to the death of the body. To look upon the nakedness of one's parents is to see their human frailty. It is to see them dying in slow motion. And so it is, that as we make our way through this life in a

corrupt world, we lose our distain for the hypocrisy of our leaders and give ourselves over to their corruption for the sake of our bodies and at the loss of our Spirits. Each generation in its time does just so, despite God's order not to look upon that nakedness, which is to say, to follow in that corruption and to lust over the things that lead to spiritual death.

There had never been a generation who turned against war like those who ended the Vietnam War. There had never been a generation that had renounced its privilege as Moses did, until Affirmative Action". But the very help offered to a generation of Black Men who needed to catch up; became the means by which, all those who were not considered White and Male, turned back from the Promised Land. Because of this, we have wandered these last forty years in the desert of the nakedness of our parents before us.

The things that protect us from the elements of the world are the corrupted things of the world itself. From the foreign wars that keep our corporations in power to your union card, your money market account, your insider connections or your entitlements: these are the soiled garments that cover your nakedness. These are the soiled garments to be washed in the blood of the lamb at the resurrection when the corruption of the beast and of the false prophet is burned in the lake of fire and the corruption of Satan is cast into the bottomless pit for a thousand years.

¹⁹ My children, again I am in the pains of childbirth for you until Christ is formed in you.

Gal 4:19 (HCSB)

The Covenant

To the best of my knowledge, the City of Jerusalem was surrounded by a stone wall that was similar in shape to the first Nation State of Israel itself. Similarly, the original Nation of Israel

was a Land of stone, whose shape is mirrored in a body of water that sits before the Throne of God on the other side of the world as was symbolized in the bronze sea placed before the symbolic Throne of God in the Temple in Jerusalem.

What I am about to relate to you will ultimately be a test of how far your wine skin has stretched and is still able to stretch. Ezekiel was a major Prophet. He saw many, many visions. But they were just that: visions. They were like dreams. Isaiah 29:7, King James:

⁷ And the multitude of all the nations that fight against Ariel, even all that fight against her and her munition, and that distress her, shall be as a dream of a night vision.

Ezekiel was among those exiled from Jerusalem by Nebuchadnazzar and the Chaldeans. He had grown up going to the Temple in Jerusalem; the one that Solomon had built. So that when he is shown a "Dream" vision of God's Temple in Heaven, he relates its features to the Temple he already knows. The two are superimposed: one atop the other so that he will recognize what is to come in relation to what he has known. On top of that is the fact that many of the measurements shown him by the man with the measuring reed are lengths of time rather than of space. Finally, and most incredible of all, is the fact that the East Gate, which faces east from the Throne of God, exists as a physical manifestation in the world today.

⁹ He holdeth back the face of his throne, *and* spreadeth his cloud upon it. Job 26:9 (KJV)

When I showed this line from Job as it relates to Heaven, I suggested that you view the beginning of "The Visions of Ezekiel". If you haven't, now would be a good time. That is if you hope to follow from here.

All is metaphor and comes to those who can see, like a dream. But as was foretold, only those who can accept as a child accepts, are able to understand. The concepts of the Arks and the Arches are brought to bear in the next two excerpts from Ezekiel.

¹⁴ Also the breadth of the face of the house, and of the separate place toward the east, an hundred cubits. ¹⁵ And he measured the length of the building over against the separate place which *was* behind it, and the galleries thereof on the one side and on the other side, an hundred cubits, with the inner temple, and the porches of the court; ¹⁶ The door posts, and the narrow windows, and the galleries round about on their three stories, over against the door, cieled with wood round about, and from the ground up to the windows, and the windows *were* covered;

Ezek 41:14-16 (KJV)

³² And he brought me into the inner court toward the east: and he measured the gate according to these measures. ³³ And the little chambers thereof, and the posts thereof, and the arches thereof, were according to these measures: and *there were* windows therein and in the arches thereof round about:

Ezek 40:32-33 (KJV)

The window frames are made of cable and covered in clouds, as are the galleries in Ezekiel 42:5 and "ceiled" round about with Redwood and Sequoia on the mountains round about the "Golden Gate". If you read Ezekiel 46:9-10, you should understand more clearly now why those that enter from the north, exit to the south and why there is pavement. You should understand that those who travel east exit heading east and so too, those entering through to the west, exit heading west. The "White Horse" which contained the Spirit of the Christ entered the vestibule of His Father's House with the completion of the circumnavigation of the Globe of The Word of God: not to be confused with the arrival of the papists

from the south and by sea: thus the waters were refreshed metaphorically. The Gate, through which He enters, is also called "The Horse Gate" as well as the East Gate and hangs from the two doorposts. I think it may also make up the windows and the galleries as seen from other angles of the vision. On either side of the East Gate facing east, hang the open gates that make up half of the North and South Gates respectively.

The water that flows east up the "River of the Sacrament", and so named the Sacramento, backs up and flows east against the incoming tide that flows beneath the "Golden Gate". In that land along the river, as it was foretold from the days of the Prophets, the orchards that give fruit were cultivated. When the tide goes out, the water of the bay of Saint Francis, (who built his church in his heart and not of stone) is refreshed with water from the Sacramento where the fishes were bountiful before the days of the serpent's flood. Down to the south end of the bay, that water is not refreshed, but is left for salt. If you "Google" a view from above in space, you will see the mountain of salt gathered there. It has been placed there in Covenant, as was promised to the Levitical Priests. The arch of the rainbow is referenced, made manifest, with the Arches of the Temple windows, vestibules and galleries: A Covenant that the serpent's flood shall not cover the earth. Also made manifest, is the Ark of Noah's Spirit, in the ark along the top of The East Gate that faces east. It is the outline of the hull of Noah's Ark suspended over the sea before the Throne of God. The victory of the Archangel Michael is delivered as promised, at the ends of the rainbow's arch. A New Covenant, placed in a "Newark". A Covenant of "salt" made between God and His Levitical Priests. This is the expulsion of the genealogy of Levites who went far from the Lord as recorded in Ezekiel 44; and the ordination of the sons of Zadok (just, justified) as "Spiritual" Levitical priests. A New Jerusalem; where they once sang of peace and of love; as they played on stringed instruments before the Throne of God. Where a lost generation spoke truth to power.

Where a generation in "Levis" attempted to live in brotherhood and made spiritual offerings in the Spiritual Temple of the One True God.

Salvation, it has been said, comes to those who wait. On one side, we have those who point to the history of Mankind's wars and say that those who fought prevailed. On the other side, we have God's plan as delivered to us by those who held steadfastly to the Word and the Law to show us "Freedom", who seemed to fail with their deaths, while in truth they prevailed and now sleep. It is the lie of those who make war; that war leads to peace. It is true that the victorious enjoy the spoils of war. But the spoils are not enjoyed equitably, and the scares cut deep upon the vanquished and the victors alike in a way that has perpetuated war from the beginning of time. On one side, there are members of groups whose agendas are at odds with other groups and on the other side are the Children of God, whose agenda is to serve all their brothers and sisters and who understand that war and violence and even "Groups", are the true enemy. Those of us, who become able to see back across the panorama of time, will come to understand that those who emerged victorious are those who never died in Spirit, but rather "Sleep" in preparation for the Second Resurrection. No battle engaged by any army ever changed the outcome of Mankind's enslavement to other men and women. No one ever glorified God by breaking His commandments. Because you were blind and deaf, these things have eluded you. Because those who claimed to teach were not teachers you have been saved by grace. But once the Holy Spirit has come into the world to open the eyes of the blind and cure the deafness of the deaf, those who blaspheme against it will not be forgiven anymore. Nor will God's Children continue to wait for their salvation. The Bible, which is the Ark containing the Covenant, has withstood Satan's flood, to deliver the promise, the truth and the light to you in these very days. Amen.

Resurrection

So can you tell me now what was wrong with the sentence, "Think of a "Star Trek" episode and write down something that happened in it"? It is the past tense of the word, "happen", that is at odds with the "Star Trek" episodes. "Star Trek" was a futuristic fantasy that takes place centuries from today. But because I have seen it, I referred to it as having happened in the past. Likewise, the visions that came to all the Prophets came to them as dreams and visions that were not unlike watching a modern day "Movie". Consequently, unless they were told specifically that it was about the future, they were left to a certain amount of confusion by design. Look at the dream of Nebuchadnazzar. Daniel tells him what "happened" in the dream and then explains to him that it was a prophesy about the Nations that would control the Earth in the future. Back there in Job 2:2, we saw Satan roaming the Earth, which is the Heaven of Genesis 1:8, where the Spirit lives in a body of flesh. It is the Heaven we are to reach out to in the first resurrection: the Resurrection of the dead in Spirit. The resurrection that comes after the four thousand years of the linage, that connects Christ to Adam and Eve as recorded in the Bible, is added the two thousand years since His crucifixion. Together they make up the six thousand years that are like six days to God according to both Peter and the Psalms. It is the end of the sixth day of creation, when the Father has made a footstool of the Earth for His beloved and deserving Son, Jesus. It is the day in which the Lord God in Heaven rests from creating all, delivering all, as He promised to His own Glory. It is the beginning of the Sabbath Day in which Jesus rules at the right hand of the Father for a thousand years, which is like a day to the Father: as it was written that Jesus is Lord of the Sabbath.

But how does this transpire? How does it come to pass? It happens as promised: with the division of the Angels of the Lord, from the Angels of Satan; the living Spirits from the dead in Spirit.

Whereas in Job, Satan wanders to and fro "on" the Earth; he is now cast down by the Angels of the Lord "Into the Earth" (Rev. 12:9) as made clear in Revelations 20:3 "into the bottomless pit", for a thousand years. Rev 12:7-11 (KJV)

"7 And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels, 8 And prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in heaven. 9 And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him. 10 And I heard a loud voice saying in heaven, Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night. 11 And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony; and they loved not their lives unto the death."

Satan said that he would not serve the host of Heaven as God instructed. Those who rule this corrupt world and all those who are complicit in that corruption, regardless of their contempt for that corruption, are still forced to chose to be Angels of the Lord or Angels of Satan on the day when Michael makes battle after the manor and Spirit, of the warrior of Peace, Jesus. It is a war of words and of the Law of God versus the Laws made by corrupted men. Like the wide leather belts that the weight lifters of my youth wrapped tight around their stomachs to keep them from giving themselves a hernia, the ancients wore tight belts that held them tight in battle. The "girdles" the ladies wore in my youth, took their name from the verb associated with this binding of the mid section for battle: to "Gird".

¹³ Wherefore gird up the loins of your mind, be sober, and hope to the end for the grace that is to be brought unto you at the revelation of Jesus Christ:

1 Peter 13 (KJV)

"This, then, is the judgment: the light has come into the world, and people loved darkness rather than the light because their deeds were evil. "For everyone who practices wicked things hates the light and avoids it, so that his deeds may not be exposed. "But anyone who lives by the truth comes to the light, so that his works may be shown to be accomplished by God."

John 3:19-21 (HCSB

- ³² Then when Mary was come where Jesus was, and saw him, she fell down at his feet, saying unto him, Lord, if thou hadst been here, my brother had not died.
- 33 When Jesus therefore saw her weeping, and the Jews also weeping which came with her, he groaned in the spirit, and was troubled, ³⁴ And said, Where have ye laid him? They said unto him, Lord, come and see. 35 Jesus wept. 36 Then said the Jews, Behold how he loved him! ³⁷ And some of them said, Could not this man, which opened the eyes of the blind, have caused that even this man should not have died? 38 Jesus therefore again groaning in himself cometh to the grave. It was a cave, and a stone lay upon it. ³⁹ Jesus said, Take ye away the stone. Martha, the sister of him that was dead, saith unto him, Lord, by this time he stinketh: for he hath been dead four days. 40 Jesus saith unto her, Said I not unto thee, that, if thou wouldest believe, thou shouldest see the glory of God? ⁴¹ Then they took away the stone *from the place* where the dead was laid. And Jesus lifted up *his* eyes, and said, Father, I thank thee that thou hast heard me. 42 And I knew that thou hearest me always: but because of the people which stand by I said it, that they may believe that thou hast sent me. 43 And when he thus had spoken, he cried with a loud voice, Lazarus, come forth. 44 And he

that was dead came forth, bound hand and foot with graveclothes: and his face was bound about with a napkin. Jesus saith unto them, Loose him, and let him go.

John 11:31-44 (KJV)

I was forty years dead in the corruption of the world before I sought the truth and began my journey. My generation has been forty years lost in the corruption of our confusion between love and sex; between God's Laws and the laws we created as though we were gods; between our understanding of what is valuable and our lust and idolatry for the things made by men's hands. You know that the world is corrupt. You know that the media and the politicians they showcase, deceive you and yet you will not speak of religion or politics lest you offend, even as your democracy collapses. A way to convert to a hydrogen-based economy that is fueled by both renewable sources and by the fulfillment of God's covenant with the Levites has been demonstrated. You have been shown a plan for the Government of the People, by the People and for the People to implement this new economy on behalf of the People: the Host of Heaven rising. But the Beast, and the False Prophet have tried to mute this voice; to pluck up this mustard seedling in the wilderness, and the voice of Satan has said you are not worthy to be free of hell nor served by the Host of Heaven, that now serves Satan and his beast. You have had some of the Bible's metaphors translated for you. You will learn more if you will continue to read what has been given to you. Many things you did not know have been explained. Creation has been reconciled with E=MC². You have been referred to the work of many valiant people who have stood for truth and light and found no support in a media controlled by Power. John 8:31-32 (KJV)

³¹ Then said Jesus to those Jews which believed on him, If ye continue in my word, *then* are ye my disciples indeed; ³² And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.

³¹ And he began to teach them, that the Son of man must suffer many things, and be rejected of the elders, and of the chief priests, and scribes, and be killed, and after three days rise again. 32 And he spake that saying openly. And Peter took him, and began to rebuke him. 33 But when he had turned about and looked on his disciples, he rebuked Peter, saying, Get thee behind me, Satan: for thou savourest not the things that be of God, but the things that be of men. 34 And when he had called the people unto him with his disciples also, he said unto them, Whosoever will come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross, and follow me. 35 For whosoever will save his life shall lose it; but whosoever shall lose his life for my sake and the gospel's, the same shall save it. 36 For what shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul? ³⁷ Or what shall a man give in exchange for his soul? 38 Whosoever therefore shall be ashamed of me and of my words in this adulterous and sinful generation; of him also shall the Son of man be ashamed, when he cometh in the glory of his Father with the holy angels.

Mark 8:31-38 (KJV)

So you must gird yourself with the truth and by coming into the light of the truth, no matter how hard it is to hear that truth. Then you must speak truth into the darkness until light overcomes the darkness. Those who say in those days, "I will not stand for justice because no one else will" give voice to Satan's accusations of Mankind as surely as Peter gave voice to them when he told Jesus that Jesus shouldn't die and Jesus said to him, "Get thee behind me Satan". They are the dead in Spirit. Having been confronted by the man with the ink horn in his hand, the man with the writing case at his side, they have not received the mark of the Lord God upon their foreheads, nor upon their forearms: for he has made no impression on them.

"¹ And I saw an angel come down from heaven, having the key of the bottomless pit and a great chain in his hand. ² And he laid hold on the dragon, that old serpent, which is the Devil, and Satan, and bound him a thousand years, ³ And cast him into the bottomless pit, and shut him up, and set a seal upon him, that he should deceive the nations no more, till the thousand years should be fulfilled: and after that he must be loosed a little season."

Rev 20:1-3 (KJV)

This is the first Resurrection. The Resurrection in which, those who where the body of Christ, were without Christ as their head; but rather, though they are Christians, they are as dead in Spirit as anyone else lost in false doctrine. Moreover, because Jesus is reconciled unto all the religions in the battle, it may be that radical Islam may cause the martyrdom of some witnesses in the bargain:

"4 And I saw thrones, and they sat upon them, and judgment was given unto them: and *I saw* the souls of them that were beheaded for the witness of Jesus, and for the word of God, and which had not worshipped the beast, neither his image, neither had received *his* mark upon their foreheads, or in their hands; and they lived and reigned with Christ a thousand years."

Rev 20:4 (KJV)

Now, you may ask how someone who has been beheaded can rule among the living. I would answer that Jesus will rule as Head in Spirit. Likewise, if you had seen how the violent martyrs of the Middle East have been held up as role models, you would understand that those who die in this battle will be held up in the same way when Michael and his Angels cast Satan out of Heaven. In fact all those who have died through all "The Latter Days" to bring the Light into the world, shall be held up as role models: The Holy Spirit of Heaven on Earth.

At the end of a thousand years we see the second resurrection:

"5 But the rest of the dead lived not again until the thousand years were finished. This *is* the first resurrection. 6 Blessed and holy *is* he that hath part in the first resurrection: on such the second death hath no power, but they shall be priests of God and of Christ, and shall reign with him a thousand years. 7 And when the thousand years are expired, Satan shall be loosed out of his prison, 8 And shall go out to deceive the nations which are in the four quarters of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to battle: the number of whom *is* as the sand of the sea. 9 And they went up on the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about, and the beloved city: and fire came down from God out of heaven, and devoured them. 10 And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet *are*, and shall be tormented day and night forever and ever."

Rev 20:5-10 (KJV)

There is a Heaven on Earth in which a living Spirit resides in a living body of flesh and Mankind lives according to the precepts of God as they did in the Garden of Eden before the fall, now made the Promised Land. The beast and the false prophet have been cast into the lake of fire and consumed and Satan is chained in the bottomless pit. In that Heaven people marry and raise children, and teach them to love and honor God and His holy ordinances. And when those people's bodies die, their Spirits sleep like Abraham's if those Spirits were alive when the body dies. And if their Spirits were dead when the body dies, their Spirit dies with their body. And at the second resurrection, when the Earth passes away and the Book of Life is opened, those who sleep and those who's Spirits are alive in their bodies at the End of Days, will become Spirits that are "like" Angels, but these will neither marry nor are given in marriage. They will become one with God even as Jesus became one with God and we are invited to become one with Jesus. Now, I cannot tell you

more about that Heaven to come because it is not of this world. But I can tell you that it is a place where love is, fore God is love. So contemplate the warmth of the love of a loving mother's arms around her baby and the love and contentment that baby feels. Contemplate the love of a father toward his child and the love returned. Contemplate the love of a brother and a sister toward their brothers and sisters. Contemplate the feeling of love welling up for a new love and do not degrade the emotion with thoughts of sex, but only think of the infatuation and the exuberance and rapture. Think of the love of two toward each other who have been married for a lifetime together. Now imagine a Spirit that feels all these emotions without end and you will begin to imagine Heaven at the second resurrection. So cultivate love of God, of child and of parent; of brother and of sister, of spouse, of neighbor and of stranger; that you might take that Spirit to the Heaven of the second resurrection and be immersed in the Glory of God the Father as Jesus is: E Pluribus Unum.